# JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Bull, Gordon Douglas (1977) Comparative analysis of community structure of two fringing reefs of Magnetic island (North Queensland). Masters (Coursework) thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/10460



COMPARATIVE ANALYSIS OF COMMUNITY STRUCTURE OF TWO FRINGING REEPS OF MAGNETIC ISLAND (NORTH QUEENSLAND)

Dissertation submitted by GORDON DOUGLAS BULL B.Sc. (London) in April 1977

in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science (predominantly by course work) in the School of Biological Sciences of the James Cook University of North Queensland.

### ABSTRACT

The scleratinian coral distributions on two fringing reefs (Geoffrey Bay and Cockle Bay) of Magnetic Island were surveyed using a line transect method.

The community structures are discussed in relation to prevailing environmental conditions. Of the two reefs surveyed, the Cockle Bay reef is less exposed and more heavily sedimented than the Geoffrey Bay reef.

The communities are divided into "zones" on the evidence of percentage coral cover, number of species per transect, colony size, Shannon and Weaver diversity indices and numerical classification of transects. The species composition and dominant species of each zone reflect the environmental conditions.

The relative severity of the environment in Cockle Bay is reflected in a smaller number of species and lower values of the Shannon and Weaver diversity indices, than are found in the Geoffrey Bay community.

The community structures and zonation patterns of these Magnetic Island reefs are discussed and compared with those of other high island fringing reefs. It appears that Magnetic Island reef. exhibit features typical of fringing reefs in very sheltered and sedimented areas. STATEMENT OF SOURCES

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institute of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

> G.D. BULL April 1977

i.i

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor, Professor Michel Pichon for his invaluable guidance and advice throughout this study.

My appreciation is also extended to the following: Greg Stroud, Kim Moss, Zena Dinesen, Jamie Oliver and Janie Horner for their assistance with collection of field data,

Professor Michel Pichon and Dr John Veron for identifying the corals,

Dr Terry Done, Brad Cooper and Peter Arnold for their tireless help with the computer work,

Terry Done and Judy Hart for their useful comments on the manuscript,

and the numerous other people who have provided help, encouragement and amusement throughout the year.

Page	No.
------	-----

Abstract	-	i
Statement of Sources		ii
Acknowledgements		111
List of Contents		iv
List of		⊥ v vi
List of	-	v∸ vii
List of		vii
	Appendices	viii ix
	1. Francisco	77
l. INTF	RODUCTION	1
1.1	AIMS OF PRESENT STUDY	1
1.2	THE STUDY AREA	1
	1.2.1 Physiography	1
	1.2.2 Environmental factors	3
	1.2.3 Other work done on Magnetic	G
	Island reef areas	-
1.3	REVIEW OF ECOLOGICAL REEF STUDIES	7
	1.3.1 Early studies	7
	1.3.2 Modern approach to sampling	8
1.4	SYNTHETIC ATTEMPTS	-1.0
1.5	QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS	14
2. MATE	RIALS AND METHODS	24
2.1	POSITIONS OF TRANSECTS	24
2.2	SAMPLING PROCEDURES	24
2.3	CORAL IDENTIFICATION	24
2.4	DATA PROCESSING	25
2.5	HIERARCHICAL CLUSTER ANALYSIS	26
2.6	SEDIMENT SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS	28

Page No.

3.	RESU	LTS AND	DISCUSSION	30
	3.1	SEDIMEN	NT ANALYSIS	30
	3.2	SPECIES	G COMPOSITION AND COMPARATIVE	32
		ABUNDAM	NCE OF SFECIES ON THE GEOFFREY	
		BAY ANI	D COCKLE BAY REEFS	
	3.3	DISTRI	JUTION OF VARIOUS SPECIES	42
		WITHIN	THE TRANSECT LINES	
		3.3.1	Stylophora pistillata	42
		3.3.2	Acropora species	42
		3.3.3	Montipora ramosa	42
		3.3.4	Foliose Montipora	47
		3.3.5	Pachyseris speciosa	48
		3.3.6	Goniopora tenuidens	49
		3.3.7	Porites species	49
		3.3.8	Faviidae	50
		3.3.9	Symphyllia recta	50
		3.3.10	Turbinaria a <b>uricular</b> is	51
	3.4	ANALYS:	IS OF TRANSECT CHARACTERISTICS	51
		3.4.1	Geoffrey Bay	51
		3.4.2	Cockle Bay	55
3.5 CLUSTER ANALYSIS		CLUSTEI	R ANALYSIS OF TRANSECTS	56
		3.5.1	Geoffrey Bay transects	63
		3.5.2	Cockle Bay transects	63
		3.5.3	All transects	64
	3.6	ZONATIO	ON PATTERNS WITHIN THE REEF	65
		COMMUNI	LTY	
		3.6.1	Geoffrey Bay	66
		3.6.2	Cockle Bay	68
4.	GENERAL CONCLUSIONS		71	

REFERENCES

# APPENDICES

# LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Description Pag	e No.
1, .	Map of Cleveland Bay	2
2.	Directional Wind-Roses	5
3.	Schematic Reef Model, after	11
	Rosen (1975)	
4.	Schematic Reef Model, after	13
	Pichon (1973)	
5.	Map of Geoffrey Bay	18
6.	Diagrammatic profile of	20
	Geoffrey Bay	
7.	Map of a section of Cockle Bay	21
8.	Diagrammatic profile of Cockle	23
	Вау	
9.	Distribution of various species	43
	within the Geoffrey Bay and	
	Cockle Bay transect lines	
10.	Dendrogram (Geoffrey Bay - cover)	57
11.	Dendrogram (Geoffrey Bay -	58
	abundance)	
12.	Dendrogram (Cockle Bay - cover)	59
13.	Dendrogram (Cockle Bay - abundance	2)60
14.	Dendrogram (Both Bays - cover)	61
15.	Dendrogram (Both Bays - abundance)	62

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Description Page 2	No.
1.	Sediment Data	31
2.	Full species list in taxonomic	33
	order	
3.	Cover and number of colonies of	36
	species recorded in Geoffrey Bay	
4.	Cover and number of colonies of	39
	species recorded in Cockle Bay	
5,	Transect line data for Geoffrey	52
	Bay	
6.	Transect line data for Cockle	53
	Bay	

LIST OF PLATES

Plate	Description	Page No.
1.	Aerial photograph of the Geoffrey Bay reef	19
2.	Aerial photograph of a section of the Cockle Bay	22
	reef	

## LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix	Description	Page No.
1.	List and descriptions of	81
	computer programs used for	
	data processing	
2.	Details of the procedure used	82
	for determining sediment	
	particle size distributions	
э.	Details of coefficients used	84
	for graphical computation of	
	mean, sorting and skewness of	
	sediment samples	