



Managing Conflict in Facility Siting

An International Comparison

Edited by
S. Hayden Lesbirel and Daigee Shaw



Index

- Aboriginal heritage 179, 184, 187, 188, 189–90, 193
adaptation 33, 34
adaptive institutions 32, 109, 130, 131
agency problem 197, 198, 199–200, 204, 205
agents 20, 135, 198, 199, 200, 203, 204, 205
agriculture, risk to 169–74
airports 86, 110, 113, 114, 115, 120
ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) investment 29
Alberta, Canada 29, 55, 57, 58
Andra 156, 158–63, 165, 167–9, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175
anti-nuclear groups 26, 46, 115–16, 117, 123, 128–9
arbitration, and bartered consent 22
armaments storage 182–3, 185, 186, 187, 190, 192, 194
Asia 15, 20–21
asymmetric information 200, 204, 205
Atomic Energy Commission 118, 123
attitudes, to facility siting 66–76
Australia 8, 179–80, 182, 185–6, 194
see also Coode Island; Point Lillias; Point Wilson
Austria 38, 39–41, 43, 51, 54, 55, 56, 57
authority 37, 38, 58, 59, 112, 113–15, 155, 159
see also procedural authority; scientific authority
awards 112–13, 116

bartered consent 4, 21–2, 196
benefiting communities 9, 198, 199, 200–201, 202–3, 204, 205
benefits
and bartered consent 22
and costs 2–3, 5–6, 87–91, 103, 104
and decide, announce and defend 20
and market siting approach 44
and risk 1, 3, 5–6, 15, 26, 29
and voluntary/partnership siting approach 25
benefits packages *see* compensation
Blancher, P. 166
Blumau, Austria 39–41, 54
Braine, Bonnie 15
bribery
and bartered consent 22
and compensation size 86, 92, 93, 95
and monetary compensation 6, 72, 74, 78, 93, 95
and negotiated compensation 50, 56, 196
and non-binding grants 65
and non-monetary compensation 6, 78
and politics 56
and safety measures 63, 74, 78
and trust 72, 74
Browning Ferris Industries (BFI) 45, 55, 57, 79

Canada 21, 23, 25–6, 29, 57–8
caring, and trust 16–17
Carney, W. J. 203
Center for the Development of Power Supply Regions (Japan) 123–4
central government
and citizens' movements 111, 117–18, 123, 128–9, 130–31
decision-making 39
and hierarchical siting approach 38, 39
incentives 112, 113, 118–20
and local government conflict 188
and private industry 111
state tools 111–12, 127–8, 129–31
authority 112, 113–15
capacity 112, 113, 120–22
hortatory 112–13, 115–18
incentive 112, 113, 118–20, 122, 125
learning 112, 113, 122, 123–5
subsidies 125–7, 130, 131

- and voluntary/partnership siting approach 129–31
- chemical industry 180–82, 184
 - see also* hazardous chemicals
- citizen panels 139, 140, 143, 144–5, 146
- citizen participatory forums 57
- citizen reports 139, 143, 144, 145
- citizens movements 111, 128–9, 130–31
- civic duty 96, 101, 196
- coalitions 8, 46, 183–5, 189, 191–2
- Coase theorem 47
- Cohen, Linda 110–11
- collaboration 30, 33, 148
 - see also* community participation; voluntary/partnership siting approach
- commitment, and social trust 16
- Commonwealth government 182, 186–9, 190, 192
- communications 155, 156, 157, 167–8, 174, 175
 - see also* Andra; LICS (local information commissions)
- communities *see* benefiting communities; host communities; poor communities; rural communities; wealthy communities
- community participation 20, 21, 30–31, 197, 198–201
 - see also* Cooperative Discourse; public participation
- Community Partnering Plan 94, 95, 96, 97
- compensation
 - and bartered consent 21, 22
 - and bribery 6, 50, 56, 63, 65, 72, 74, 78, 86, 92, 93, 95, 196
 - commensurability 6, 79–80
 - design 64
 - and developers 64, 75–6, 101
 - effectiveness 6, 91–3
 - and egalitarian siting approach 44, 49–50, 52, 54
 - failures 44–5, 46, 50, 59–60, 66
 - and government 56, 118–20, 122
 - and health and safety 66
 - and hierarchical siting approach 56
 - and inequalities 15, 22, 31
 - and legislation 66
 - and morality 50, 65–6; 93, 94, 102
 - and nature conservation 186, 190
 - and opposition 70–74, 76, 77, 91–3
 - and politics 5–6
 - and poor communities 48, 49–50, 57, 98
 - positive presentation of 95
 - price inelasticity 86, 87
 - public attitudes survey 66–78
 - and radioactive waste repositories 63
 - and risk 5–6, 80, 86, 95, 96
 - and robust siting approach 57, 59, 60
 - role 64–6
 - and safety 50, 63, 66, 74, 78
 - size 91–3, 95–6, 98–102
 - and support 70, 71–4, 76, 77, 97–102
 - and technically-based site screening and selection 21
 - types 31, 45, 56, 75–6, 77, 78, 95, 96, 97
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 25, 26, 44, 52, 54, 56, 57, 59, 85, 94–104, 125–7, 130, 131
 - see also* monetary compensation; negotiated compensation; non-monetary compensation; safety measures; safety packages
- competence, and social trust 16
- conflict 86–7, 114, 137–8, 156, 166–7
 - see also* facility siting: failures; opposition; shutdowns
- conflict resolution, and Delphi method 140
- Connecticut, United States 26
- constituency of support, and political coalitions 31–2
- consultation 23, 25, 33
- Coode Island
 - hazardous chemicals storage 180–83, 188, 190
 - environmental risks 184, 185, 186, 187, 193–4
 - risks to residents 180, 189, 191, 192
 - safety 187
- Coode Island Review Panel 180, 181, 182
- Cooperative Discourse
 - application 142–6
 - model 7, 138–41, 149–51
 - practical experiences 7, 146–9

- requirements 151–2
- cost-benefit analysis 5, 42–3
- cost-effectiveness 29
- costs
 - and benefits 2–3, 5–6, 87–91, 103, 104
 - and risks tradeoff 42
 - technical safety 30
 - see also* PIPP (Principle of Interest-Pay-Participation); transaction costs
- crowding theory 96, 101, 196
- cultural plurality 5, 51–2, 60
- cultural theory, and egalitarian siting approach 38
- culture 55, 58
- cumulative inequity 15–16

- dams 110, 113, 114
- Dangerous Goods Act (1985) (Australia) 185–6
- decide, announce and defend (DAD) 8, 20, 33, 179, 185, 194, 196
- decision-making
 - fairness 179, 186, 194
 - and foreclosing options 179–80
 - by government 39, 59
 - and hierarchical siting approach 39, 44
 - by host communities 198, 199
 - local 39, 41, 45, 46, 47
 - by local government 198–9
 - and politics 3–4, 197
 - and public participation 44, 58–9, 166–7
 - and social pressure 86, 87, 88–91, 93–4, 97, 102, 103–4
 - and transparency 7
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 24, 45, 46
- deliberative process 58–9, 60
 - see also* Cooperative Discourse
- Delphi method 140, 148
- democracy 1–2, 7, 59, 73, 130, 159, 163, 199–201, 203, 204
- democratization 33
- Dengen Sanpō* 22, 118–20, 122, 125–7, 130
- developers 1, 44, 48, 49, 75–6, 101, 196

- distributional inequity 15–16, 20
- distrust 16, 17–19, 26, 28, 30, 33, 43–4
 - see also* trust

- Eagle, United States 45, 55, 57
- East Coast Armaments Complex (ECAC) 182, 183, 185, 190, 192
- Easterling, Doug 64–5
- economic compensation *see* monetary compensation
- education 120–22, 127
- efficiency, and bartered consent 21, 22
- egalitarian siting approach 5, 38, 49–51, 53–6, 57, 58, 59
- egalitarianism 46
- Eichenberger, Reiner 201
- Elster, Jon 66
- eminent domain 113–15
- endangered species 184, 187–8, 190, 192, 193
- environmental effects, of hazardous chemicals 183–6, 187–8, 189–90, 191, 193–4
- Environmental Effects Statement (EES) 182, 183–6
- environmental groups 8, 26, 183, 184, 189, 191
 - see also* HAZMAG (Hazardous Materials Action Group); SWEG (Statewide Environment Groups)
- environmental impact assessment 19, 113, 123, 200
- environmental justice 96–7
- environmental justice movements 15, 98
- environmental quality, and standard of living 20
- Enzersdorf, Austria 40, 41, 51, 54, 55, 56, 57
- equality, in rational discourse 137
 - see also* fairness; inequities
- Europe 15, 20–21, 41, 60
- expected utility model 65, 66, 80
- experts
 - and Cooperative Discourse 139, 140, 143, 145, 146, 148–9
 - and deliberation 59
 - discussions 95
 - and distrust 43–4

- and hierarchical siting approach 40, 41, 43–4, 54, 56, 155
 - information from 139, 140, 143, 145, 148–9, 152, 166
 - lectures by 121
 - site assessments 33, 162–3, 181
- facility need 13–14, 29, 68–70, 78
- facility siting
- acceptability 68–70
 - approaches 4–5
 - (*see also* bartered consent; egalitarian siting approach; hierarchical siting approach; robust siting approaches; voluntary/partnership siting approach)
 - costs 85, 181, 188, 189
 - 'do-nothing' option 190, 191
 - failures 5, 36–7, 40–41, 44–5, 66, 98, 103, 175, 197
 - and old plants 85
 - participation 198–9
 - policies 3, 78–9, 200
 - policy instruments 5–7, 197–205
- Facility Siting Credo 8, 27, 197
- faculty siting credo 8–9, 27
- fairness
- and Cooperative Discourse 144, 149, 152
 - decision-making 179, 186, 194
 - and hierarchical siting approach 5, 41–3, 55
 - and poor communities 96–7
 - and robust siting approach 60
 - and values 5
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 5, 47–8, 55, 96, 98
 - and waste management 135, 144
- farmers 46, 114, 120
- fear 26, 120–22, 127–8
- federalism 201
- fires 180, 181, 189, 191, 192
- fisherman 46, 120, 127–8, 130
- fishing cooperatives 112, 117–18
- fishing rights 117–18, 130
- FOCJs (functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions) 9, 201–2, 203–4, 205
- framing 193, 194
- France
- Andra 156, 158–63, 165, 167–9, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175
 - Gard nuclear development 166, 169–74, 175
 - high-level radioactive waste law 155–9, 163, 164, 173–4, 200
 - LICS (local information commissions) 7–8, 155–6, 163–7, 171–4
 - nuclear reactors 110
 - nuclear research facilities 79–80, 155, 159–63, 166, 169–70, 171
- free riders 198, 200, 202, 204
- Frey, Bruno S. 79, 93, 95–6, 196, 201
- Gard, France 166, 169–74, 175
- genetically altered material 14
- Genossenschaft 203
- geology 156, 160–61, 162–3, 170–71
- Germany 7, 66, 109, 136, 142–52
- Gerrard, Michael B. 51–2
- governance system 199
- government
- compensation 56, 118–20, 122
 - and cost-benefit analysis 42–3
 - decision-making 39, 59
 - distrust of 16, 26, 33
 - and hierarchical siting approach 38–9
 - public consultation 39, 115
 - talks 115
 - trust in 39, 50, 56, 57, 58, 59, 199, 203, 204
 - see also* central government; Commonwealth government; FOCJs (functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions); local government
- Granovetter, Marc 87
- Gregory, Robin 64
- groundwater 14–15, 85
- Hamaoka, Japan 46, 55
- Hartland, Colleen 189
- hazardous chemicals
- environmental effects 183–6, 187–8, 189–90, 191, 193–4
 - fires 180
 - and heritage 179, 184, 187, 188, 189–90, 193
 - laws 182, 185–6

- public perception of risk 14
- risks 180, 81
- storage 180–83
- hazardous materials 14–15, 85
- hazardous waste 49
 - see also* high-level radioactive waste management; radioactive waste management
- hazardous waste facilities
 - egalitarian siting approach 48–51
 - hierarchical siting approach 38–43
 - and old plants 85
 - robust siting approaches 51–2, 54–9
 - voluntary/partnership siting approach 43–8
 - see also* high-level radioactive waste repositories; radioactive waste repositories
- HAZMAG (Hazardous Materials Action Group) 180, 183, 184, 185, 189, 191–2
- health and safety
 - and compensation 66
 - and egalitarianism 49, 50
 - and nuclear energy 120–22
 - and technically-based site screening and selection 21
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 24, 47, 59
 - see also* safety measures
- heritage 179, 184, 187, 188, 189–90, 193
- hierarchical approach 5, 38, 39, 48, 53, 54
- hierarchical siting approach
 - and authority 37, 38, 58, 59, 155, 159
 - characteristics 37–8, 53–4
 - and compensation 56
 - and decision-making 39, 44
 - and distrust 43–4
 - and egalitarian siting approach 50–51, 54–5
 - and expert assessments 40, 41, 43–4, 56, 155
 - and fairness 5, 41–3, 55
 - and government 38–9
 - inequities 5, 37, 38
 - and legislation 38–9, 40
 - and local control 57
 - and NIMBY ('not in my backyard') syndrome 196
- public acceptance 41
 - and public participation 40–41
 - and responsibility 55
 - and trust 39, 40, 41, 59
- hierarchical societies 45, 58, 110
- high-level radioactive waste
 - management
 - and communications 155, 156, 157, 167–8, 174, 175
 - laws 155–9, 163, 164–5, 167, 173–4, 200
 - and social change 33
- high-level radioactive waste repositories
 - compensation survey 66–78
 - monetary compensation 70–74, 75–6, 77
 - and need for facility 68–70
 - and non-binding grants 65
 - public perception of risk 68–70, 78, 86
 - research facilities 79–80, 155, 157, 159–63, 166
 - safety measures 70–74
 - and trust 68–70, 78
- high risk 6, 14–15, 104
- Ho, Mai 188
- hortatory tools 112–13, 115–18
- host communities
 - and community participation 198–9, 200–201
 - and compensation 22
 - and consultation 33
 - decision-making 198, 199
 - and developers 1
 - and FOCJ (functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions) 9, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205
 - and inequities 1, 15–16, 22
 - and PIPP (Principle of Interest-Pay-Participation) 9, 202, 203, 204, 205
- Hungary 50
- incentives
 - and bartered consent 22
 - central government 112, 113, 118–20, 125
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 25, 45, 85

- see also* compensation; monetary
 compensation; negotiated
 compensation; non-monetary
 compensation; safety packages
- incinerators 14, 66–78, 86, 136
- individualism 48, 49, 54–5
- inequities 3, 5, 15–16, 22, 31, 37, 38
- information
- asymmetries 200, 204
 - and citizens movements 130–31
 - and community participation 200
 - and Cooperative Discourse 139, 140, 143, 146, 147, 148, 149
 - and decide, announce and defend 20
 - from experts 139, 140, 143, 145, 148–9, 152, 166
 - and fear alleviation 120–22, 127–8
 - and high-level nuclear waste management 156
 - (*see also* Andra; LICs (local information commissions))
 - and social amplification of risk 15
 - and technically-based site screening and selection 21
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 23, 25, 103
 - and waste management 135
 - see also* local information; local knowledge; scientific knowledge
- institutions 9, 17–19, 32, 33–4, 50
- see also* political institutions
- inter-generational equity 15, 33
- interest groups
- and Cooperative Discourse 138, 139, 147, 150
 - and FOCJs (functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions) 202, 203, 204, 205
 - and host communities 200, 201
 - rent-seeking 197, 199
- intra-generational equity 33
- investment, ALARA (as low as reasonably achievable) 29–30
- JAERO (Japan Atomic Energy Relations Organization) 121–2, 123
- Japan
- airports 110, 113, 114, 115, 120
 - anti-nuclear groups 46, 115–16, 117, 123, 128–9
 - bartered consent 21, 22
 - central government 111–25, 127–8, 129–31
 - citizens movements 111, 115–16, 117–18, 123, 128–9, 130–31
 - compensation 7, 15, 46, 50, 52, 54, 56
 - dams 110, 113, 114
 - hierarchical society 45, 58, 110
 - nuclear energy program 109, 110, 111–25
 - nuclear power plants 45, 46, 55, 114–31
 - political culture 110, 130
 - procedural structures 110, 111
 - subsidies 125–7
 - voluntary/partnership siting approach 45, 46, 55, 58, 129
- Japan Industrial Location Center 124, 125
- Jenkins-Smith, Hank 74
- jobs 94, 95, 98–9, 100, 126
- Kaminoseki 115, 117–18
- Kasperson, Roger E. 16–17, 39, 41, 74
- Kates, Robert W. 15
- Kennett, Jeff 189, 190
- Kirner, Joan 192
- Kneese, Alan 43, 47
- knowledge, local 147, 149
- Kunreuther, Howard 27, 64–5, 79
- Kuran, Timur 87, 103
- land expropriation 113–15
- landfills 14, 45, 55, 57, 66–78, 79
- laws
- and compensation 66
 - Dengen Sanpō* 22, 118–20, 122, 125–7, 130
 - and fishing rights 117–18, 130
 - on hazardous chemicals 182, 185–6
 - and hierarchical siting approach 38–9, 40
 - on high-level nuclear waste management 155–9, 163, 164–5, 167, 173–4
 - land expropriation 113–15
 - and NGOs 111
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 44
 - on waste disposal 136

- learning, in rational discourse 137
- learning tools, central government 112, 113, 122, 123–5
- leftist movements 46
- Lesbirel, S. Hayden 46, 52, 54, 111
- libertarianism 47–8, 49
- licensing 39
- LICs (local information commissions) 7–8, 155–6, 157, 171–4
- Lipset, Seymour Martin 57–8
- local communities *see* host communities
- local control 39, 41, 45, 46, 47, 57
- local government 39, 45, 121–2, 188, 198–9
- local information 157, 158
 - see also* Andra; LICs (local information commissions)
- local knowledge 147, 149, 151, 155–6
- local public goods 199
- low-level radioactive waste repositories 46–7, 94–104
- low risk 6, 14
- LULUs (locally undesirable land-uses) 190–91

- MacLean, Douglas 47–8
- market mechanisms 37–8, 45–8, 49–50
- market siting approach *see* voluntary/partnership siting approach
- market-based instruments 196
- Massachusetts Hazardous Waste Facility Siting Act (1980) 44
- media 19, 26, 148, 159, 166–7, 170, 172
- mediation 144, 147, 148, 156
- MITI (Ministry of International Trade and Industry, Japan) 113, 115, 116, 117, 118, 120, 121–2, 123–6, 128, 130, 131
- monetary compensation
 - appropriateness 75–6, 77
 - and bribery 6, 93, 95
 - and in-kind compensation 75
 - and LICs (local information commissions) 165
 - and morality 66
 - and safety measures 70–74, 78
 - size 98–9, 100, 102, 125
 - target 125–6
 - time period 127
- Montana, United States 86
- morality
 - and bartered consent 22
 - and compensation 50, 65–6, 93, 94, 102
 - and cultural plurality 5
 - and egalitarian siting approach 38, 49, 50, 55
 - and hierarchical siting approach 55
 - and rational discourse 138
 - and utilitarianism 49
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 47, 55
- moveable respondents 72, 76, 77
- Multi Attribute Decision Theory 144, 149

- Narita airport 114, 115, 120
- national communities, and inequities 3
- nature conservation 184, 186, 187–8, 190, 192, 193–4
- need, facility *see* facility need
- negotiated compensation 46, 47, 49–50, 56
- negotiation
 - and bartered consent 21, 22
 - transaction costs 3–4
 - and trust 30
 - and voluntary/partnership siting approach 33, 38, 44, 52
- New Jersey, United States 24, 25–6
- NGOs 110, 111
- Niigata, Japan 115–16, 117–18, 128–9
- NIMBY ('not in my backyard') syndrome 1, 41, 44, 52, 59–60, 191, 194, 196
- non-binding grants 65
- non-monetary compensation 6, 75, 78
 - see also* safety measures; safety packages
- non-negotiated compensation 56, 60
- nuclear accidents 129, 131
- nuclear energy 120–22
- nuclear energy programs 109, 110–11
- nuclear facilities 29–30, 79, 162–3
- nuclear power 14, 45, 46, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 127, 128, 129, 131
- Nuclear Power Day 112–13, 116, 117

- nuclear power plants
 - and central government 110, 114–25, 127–31
 - and conflict 156, 166–7
 - and education 120–22, 127
 - and subsidies 125–7, 130, 131
 - voluntary/partnership siting approach 45, 46
- nuclear reactors 109–10
- nuclear research facilities 79–80, 148, 155, 157, 159–63, 164–75
- nuclear waste management *see* radioactive waste management

- Oberholzer-Gee, Felix 95–6
- O'Connor, Robert 74
- officials
 - appropriate roles 64
 - civic duty 101
 - design approval 71–4
 - and LICs (local information and monitoring commissions) 155–6, 165
 - role 74, 78–9, 121–2
 - trust of 64, 68–70, 72, 74, 78
- one-dollar-one-vote political system 202–4, 205
- one-person-one-vote 199, 200
- Ontario, Canada 25–6
- openness 7, 137, 152, 161, 165, 167, 182
 - see also* transparency
- opportunism 15, 20
- opposition
 - and bartered consent 22
 - and compensation 70–74, 76, 77, 91–3
 - and hierarchical siting approach 40–41
 - by local government 112
 - and political power 15, 111
 - and social pressure 87–91, 93, 102
 - and technically-based site screening and selection 21
 - and unemployment 15
 - see also* conflict; facility siting: failures; riots; shutdowns
- Orange-Bellied Parrot 184, 186, 187–8, 190, 192, 193
- Ostrom, Elinor 50

- P.A.N. 142, 148, 151
- Pareto criterion 42
- Pareto superior outcome 47, 48, 49, 199
- Pareto-efficient 199, 205
- partnership/voluntary siting approach *see* voluntary/partnership siting approach
- paternalism 48, 59
- Pekkanen, Robert 111
- Pennsylvania, United States 86, 94–104
- pep talks, governmental 115, 128
- perception, public of risk *see* public perception of risk
- permits 39
- personal freedom 38, 47
- PIPP (Principle of Interest-Pay-Participation) 9, 202–4, 205
- planning cells 144
- pluralism 57, 58
- Point Lillias 182, 183, 184–5, 186–91, 192–4
- Point Lillias Project Unit 182, 183
- Point Wilson 181, 182, 183, 185, 187, 188, 189, 190, 192–3, 194
- policy tools 7, 8, 9, 109, 111, 130
- policy triangle 55
- political coalitions 31–2
- political culture 110, 130
- political groups 46
- political institutions
 - change 2, 9, 10–11, 201–4, 205
 - definition 197
 - failures 197
 - FOCJ (functional, overlapping and competing jurisdictions) 201–2, 203–4, 205
 - participants 9, 198–9
 - and PIPP (Principle of Interest-Pay-Participation) 202–4, 205
 - problems 199–201, 204
 - trust in 7, 17, 198, 199, 203, 204
- politicians 150–51, 165, 197
- politics
 - and compensation 5–6
 - and decision-making 3–4
 - and power 15, 111
 - and siting criteria 54–5, 96, 103–4, 148, 170–71, 172, 173–4
- pollution 66, 117, 118, 188, 191, 192
- poor communities 15, 20, 40, 48–50, 57, 96–7, 98

- Popper, F. 1
power plants 66, 113, 114
power sharing 30, 33
principals 198, 199, 200, 203, 204, 205
Principle of Interest-Pay-Participation (PIPP) 9, 202, 203, 204, 205
prior injustices 15, 19
prisons 68–74, 75–6, 77, 79, 86
private industry 44, 45, 111, 112, 113, 182
private preferences 6, 87, 103–4, 129–30, 199
procedural authority 7, 162, 166–7
procedural inequity 16
procedural rationality 5, 37, 38, 51
procedural structures 110–11
Project Committee 182
property rights 3, 9, 113–15
public consultation 20, 39, 40, 115, 156
public debate 103
public goods 2, 9, 199, 201, 203, 204
public hearings 24, 113, 123
public information 8, 156, 162, 168–9, 170, 171–2
public inquiries 168–9, 173
public interest 41–3, 54, 162
public lands 203
public opinion 86, 111
public participation
 and conflict resolution 135
 and decision-making 44, 58–9, 166–7
 and egalitarian siting approach 51
 hierarchical siting approach 40–41
 and radioactive waste repositories 79, 88
 and safety 30
 and voluntary/partnership siting approach 23, 25, 33, 44
 see also Cooperative Discourse
public perception of high risk 14–15
public perception of risk
 and acceptability 68–71, 72
 and cultural plurality 52
 high-level nuclear waste repositories 68–70, 78, 86
 incinerators 14, 68–70, 78, 86
 landfills 68–70
 prisons 68–70, 86
 and risk assessments, quantitative 15
 and technically-based site screening and selection 21
 see also social amplification of risk
public policy, and individual rights 43
public relations 117, 122–5, 127–8, 130, 159, 160
Putnam, Robert D. 17
quantitative risk assessment 15, 185–6
Rabe, Barry G. 41, 50, 52, 54, 57–8
radioactive material 14
radioactive waste management 26, 170–74
radioactive waste repositories
 and compensation 63
 and distrust 16
 and institutional adaptation 32
 negative imagery 18, 19
 and public participation 79, 88
 and trust 16
 voluntary/partnership siting approach 23, 25, 110
 see also high-level radioactive waste repositories; low-level radioactive waste repositories
rational discourse 7, 136–8, 140
 see also Cooperative Discourse
rationality 3, 5, 37, 38, 51
Rayner, Steve 55
recycling 14, 51, 136
referenda 46–7, 52, 73, 79, 87–8, 96, 103–4, 128–9, 130
regions, and inequalities 15–16
Renn, Ortwin 58–9, 142
rent-seeking problem 197, 199, 200, 202, 204
research facilities, nuclear *see* nuclear research facilities
responsibility 48, 55–6, 57, 60
rights, fishing 117–18, 130
rights, individual 3, 38, 43, 113–15
riots 87, 114
risk
 agricultural 169–74
 and bartered consent 22
 and benefits 1, 3, 5–6, 15, 29
 and compensation 5–6, 80, 86, 95, 96
 and costs 29, 42
 and expert assessments 162–3

- hazardous chemicals 179, 180, 181, 184, 185, 188, 189–90, 191, 192, 193–4
- to heritage 179, 184, 187, 188, 189–90, 193
- to nature 179, 184, 185, 186, 187–8, 190, 192, 193–4
- nuclear facilities 29–30, 162–3
- qualitative properties 14–15
- and safety 29–30
- and waste management 135
- see also* high risk; low risk; public perception of high risk; public perception of risk; social amplification of risk
- risk assessments, quantitative 15, 185–6
- risk aversion 15
- risk management 29, 58
- risk ripples 19
- River Basin Agencies 203
- robust siting approaches 51–2, 54–9
- rules 9, 137, 197
- rural communities 20

- safety inspections 71–4, 75–6, 79
- safety measures 6, 20, 26, 29–30, 63, 70–74, 74, 78
- see also* health and safety
- safety packages 66
- safety standards 50
- Schelling, Thomas C. 87
- scientific authority 7, 162–3, 167–8, 174
- scientific knowledge 162–3, 166, 174
- secrecy 156, 159
- Sellafield, United Kingdom 23, 25
- Shaw, Daigee 47, 202–3
- shutdowns 71–4, 78
- site-screening 39
- Slovic, Paul 28, 30
- small communities 20, 46–7
- social amplification of risk 15, 19, 22, 29
- social capital, and trust 17
- social interaction model 87–91, 93–4
- social issues 33–4, 51
- social organization 37–8
- see also* egalitarian siting approach; hierarchical siting approach; robust siting approaches;
- voluntary/partnership siting approach
- social pressure 6, 86, 87, 88–91, 93–4, 97, 102, 103–4, 110, 196
- social trust *see* trust
- solid waste
 - government 204
 - landfills *see* landfills
 - parliament 204
- special interest groups 197, 199
- spillover effects 2, 3, 6, 204
- spillovers 202, 204
- stakeholders 5, 135, 140, 143, 144, 145, 151, 156, 173–4
- standard of living, and environmental quality 20
- standards 50, 136
- Stewart, Joseph 74
- strategic rent-seeking 199
- substantive rationality 38, 51
- supervisors 94–5, 96–102
- supporters 70, 71–4, 76, 77, 87–91, 93–4, 97–102
- Sweden 16, 22, 29–30, 79
- SWEG (Statewide Environment Groups) 183–5, 189, 191–2
- Switzerland 22, 79, 93
- systems approach 14, 17–18, 31

- Taipower 46–7
- Taiwan 22, 46–7, 50, 66
- Tanaka, Yasamusa 22
- technical competence 16
- technical consultants 30
- technical information 146, 147, 149, 152, 162, 163, 166, 168–9
- technical safety costs 30
- technically-based site screening and selection 20–21, 33
- Terminals Pty Ltd 180–81
- Thompson, Michael 51, 55
- Three-Step-Participation-Model *see* Cooperative Discourse
- threshold model 87–91, 93–4
- Tiebout, C. M. 198–9
- Tiebout hypothesis 198
- transaction costs 1–2, 3–4, 5, 7, 9, 130, 196, 199
- transparency 7, 25, 33, 149, 152, 162, 199

- see also* openness
- trust
 and collaboration 30, 148
 and community participation 30–31, 199
 dimensions 16–17
 in expert assessments 43–4
 in government 7, 39, 50, 56, 57, 58, 59, 199, 203, 204
 and hierarchical siting approach 39, 40, 41, 59
 and high-level nuclear waste repositories 68–70, 78
 and incinerators 68–70, 78
 increasing 28, 30
 and landfills 68–70
 and negotiation 30
 and nuclear waste 16, 26
 in officials 64, 68–70, 72, 74, 78
 and partnership 30
 in political institutions 7, 17, 198, 199, 203, 204
 and prisons 68–70
 and safety inspections 79
 and social capital 17
 and voluntary/partnership siting approach 25, 45
see also distrust
- unemployment 15, 20
 United Kingdom 23, 25
 United States
 attitudes to compensation 50
 bartered consent 22
 cultural plurality 52
 deliberative process 58
 distrust of institutions 16, 17
 environmental justice movements 15
 facility siting costs 85
 facility siting survey 66–76
 hierarchical siting approach 38–9, 41
 high-level radioactive waste management 33
 landfills 14, 45, 55, 57, 79
 non-binding grants 65
 nuclear power plants 109, 110
 public participation 88
 radioactive waste 15, 21, 86, 94–104
 referenda 79
 siting conflicts 86
 social pressure survey 86, 94–104
 voluntary/partnership siting approach 24, 25–6, 44, 45–6, 55, 57, 94–104
- United States. Department of Energy 16
- utilitarianism 41–3, 49
- value-trees 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 144, 147, 149
- values 5
- Vari, Anna 14, 50
- veil of silence 6, 103, 104
- visitors' centers 160–61, 162, 169
- voluntary/partnership siting approach
 and benefits 44
 and central government 129–31
 (*see also* central government: state tools)
 characteristics 23–5, 38, 44, 53–4
 and compensation 44, 52, 54, 56, 57, 59, 85, 94–104, 125–7, 130, 131, 196–7
 and cultural plurality 52
 and decision-making 45, 46
 and egalitarian siting approach 50–51
 and fairness 5, 47–8, 55, 96, 98
 and health and safety 47, 59
 and incentives 45, 85
 and information 23, 25, 103
 and legislation 44
 and local control 45, 46, 57
 and morality 47
 and negotiated compensation 46, 47
 and negotiation 44, 52
 nuclear power plants 45
 and personal freedom 38, 47
 and political culture 110
 and poor communities 48–50
 and public participation 44
 radioactive waste repositories 110
 and responsibility 55, 57
 and small communities 46–7
 and trust 30, 45
- Vosse, Wilhelm 111
- 'voting with their feet' 198–9, 202
- vulnerable groups 48–50
- Waste Act (1991) (France) 155–8, 200
- waste disposal 14, 136

- waste management 14, 135, 142–51, 203
- waste reduction 14, 51, 136, 146
- waste water discharges 117, 118
- Water Boards 203
- wealthy communities 51
- Weingart, R. 26
- welfare 41–3, 203–4, 205
- Whitehead, Bradley 44, 47
- wine producers 169–74
- women 112, 117, 120, 127
- Wynne, B. 167
- Yucca Mountain nuclear waste repository 16, 18, 19