ResearchOnline@JCU

This file is part of the following reference:

Bowen, Alana (2011) The role of disclosure and resilience in response to stress and trauma. PhD thesis, James Cook University.

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/23905/

The author has certified to JCU that they have made a reasonable effort to gain permission and acknowledge the owner of any third party copyright material included in this document. If you believe that this is not the case, please contact ResearchOnline@jcu.edu.au and quote http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/23905/



The role of disclosure and resilience in response to stress and trauma

Alana Bowen

Thesis submitted in fulfilment of the requirements for a Doctor of Philosophy Degree
with James Cook University

Statement of access

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make it available for use within the University Library and, by microfilm or other photographic means, allow access to others in other approved libraries. All users consulting the thesis will have to sign the following statement:

"In consulting this thesis I agree not to copy or closely paraphrase it in whole or in part without the written consent of the author; and to make proper written acknowledgment for any assistance which I have obtained from it."

Beyond this, I do not wish to place any restriction on access to this thesis.

Users of this thesis are advised that the policy for preparation and acceptance of this thesis does not guarantee that they are entirely free of inappropriate analyses or conclusions. Users may direct enquires' regarding this thesis to the relevant School head.

Alana Bowen	Date

Declaration of ethics

The research presented and reported in this thesis was conducted within the guidelines for research ethics outlined in the National Statement on Ethics Conduct in Research Involving Human (1999), the Joint NHMRC/AVCC Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice (1997), the James Cook University Policy on Experimentation Ethics. Standard Practices and Guidelines (2001), and the James Cook University Statement and Guidelines on Research Practice (2001). The proposed research methodology received clearance from the James Cook University Experimentation Ethics Review Committee (approval numbers: H2309, H2370, H2501).

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Alana Bowen	Date

Contents

Title page i
Statement of access
Statement of declarationiii
Contents iv
Appendicesxv
List of tablesxviii
List of figuresxxiii
Abstract xxiv
Chapter 1
Overview of stress, trauma, and posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) 1
Previous research on trauma and its sequelae
Negative reactions to stress and trauma
Neutral and positive responses to stress and trauma8
The value of emotional disclosure following stress exposure
The role of resilience in fostering well-being following stress or trauma 12
Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)
Prevalence rates of PTSD. 19
Theories of PTSD and stress-related conditions
Behavioural theories. 21
Biological theories
Cognitive theories
Schema theories. 25
Horowitz's (1986) schema model of adjustment

Janoff-Bulman's (1989) schema model of adjustment	29
Associative network theories	31
Emotion processing theory	33
Aim of current research program	37
Chapter 2	
Study 1: Relationships among disclosure, resilience, life stressors, and	
psychological health	42
Measuring stressful life events	42
Relationship between depression and stressful events	44
Relationship between anxiety and stressful events	48
Stress exposure and the effects of cognitive appraisals	49
Beneficial effects of resilience following stress	52
The benefits of social support and disclosure following stress expos	ure 52
The benefits of self-efficacy following stress	57
The benefits of hardiness when exposed to stress	58
The benefits of self-deception in the aftermath of stress	61
Positive illusions and mental health	63
Predictions and significance of the Study 1	67
Chapter 3	
Study 1: Method	70
Participants	70
Standard measures employed in the first and subsequent studies	72
Demographic information.	72
World Assumptions Scale (WAS)	72

The Cognitive Hardiness subscale of the Stro	ess Assessment Inventory 73	3
The General Perceived Self-Efficacy Scale	73	3
Social Support Scale		3
Self-deception Questionnaire (SDQ)	74	1
Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HA	DS)74	1
Measures uniquely employed in Study 1	75	5
Appraisal of Life Events Scale (ALES)	75	5
Social Readjustment Rating Questionnaire (SRRQ)75	5
Measures developed for Study 1	76	5
Description of stressful or traumatic event	76	5
Disclosure measure	76	5
Procedure	77	7
Power analysis)
Chapter 4		
Study 1: Results and Discussion	80)
Data analysis	80)
Screening of data	80)
Preliminary examinations		1
Relationships between resilience, disclosure, psy	chological health, and	
world assumptions	83	3
Relationship between world assumptions and con	nfidants' reactions to	
disclosure		5
Resilience and psychological health		3
Resilience and HADS Anxiety	89	9

Resilience and HADS Depression	90
Resilience and world assumptions	91
Resilience and Benevolence of People	93
Resilience and Self-Worth	93
Resilience and Luck	94
Self-deception, stress exposure, and depression	94
Psychological health, stress exposure, and cognitive appraisals	95
Exposure to stress, cognitive appraisals and HADS Anxiety	96
Exposure to stress, cognitive appraisals and HADS Depression	96
Discussion	97
The link between disclosure and self-efficacy	97
Relationship between world assumptions and confidants' reactions	to
disclosure	99
Resilience and psychological symptoms	101
Resilience factors and world assumptions	104
Resilience, Benevolence of People, and Benevolence of the Wo	orld105
Resilience and Self-Worth	106
Resilience and Luck	107
Self-deception, stress exposure, and depression	108
Psychological health, stress exposure, and cognitive appraisals	111
Summary and significance of this study	112
Chapter 5	
Study 2: Changes in resilience, physical health, and psychological h	nealth
following written emotional disclosure	116

	Links between stress and physical health	. 116
	The value of written emotional disclosure.	118
	Improving physical health by written disclosure.	. 120
	Improving psychological health by written disclosure	. 122
	Theories of written emotional disclosure	124
	Limitations and extensions of the writing paradigm.	127
	Personal growth following exposure to stress.	. 129
	Significance of Study 2 and hypotheses.	. 132
C]	hapter 6	
	Study 2: Method.	. 135
	Participants	135
	Measures utilised	. 137
	Demographic information.	137
	Social Readjustment Rating Questionnaire (SRRQ)	. 137
	Appraisal of Life Events Scale (ALES).	. 138
	Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI)	. 138
	Pennebaker Inventory of Limbic Languidness (PILL)	. 138
	Measures developed for Study 2	. 139
	Lifetime Trauma measure.	. 139
	Category of stressful or traumatic event	. 139
	Confidants' reaction to disclosure.	. 140
	Procedure	. 141
	Pre-test instructions.	. 142
	Writing segment	143

Post-test instructions.	147
Power analysis and considerations regarding sample size	148
Chapter 7	
Study 2: Results and Discussion.	149
Data screening	149
Preliminary tests	149
The relationships among variables at the start of the study	151
The impact of written disclosure on physical and psychological health	154
Improved resilience in terms of improved psychological and physical	
symptoms following written disclosure	161
Improved resilience from pre-test to post-test: Links to improvement in	
psychological symptoms, physical symptoms, and overall health following	3
written disclosure	163
Discussion	166
Initial resilience scores and baseline psychological and physical health	166
The effects of written disclosure on psychological and physical health	168
The role of resilience in improving health following written disclosure	172
Improvements in physical and psychological health linked to demographic	s
and methodological variables	173
Issues of importance relating to written disclosure	175
Chapter 8	
Study 3: Resilience, emotional disclosure, world assumptions, and	
psychological and physical health following attendance at a PTSD group	
therapy program.	178

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD)	178
Intrusion and re-experiencing symptoms.	179
Avoidance and emotional numbing.	180
Hyperarousal symptoms	181
Assessment of PTSD.	182
Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS)	183
The PTSD Checklist (PCL)	184
Factors contributing to the development and maintenance of PTSD	185
Aspects of the traumatic event	185
Pre-existing characteristics of the individual	186
The individual's psychological resources	190
Comorbidity and PTSD	193
PTSD and its relationship to physical health	198
Forms of treatment for traumatised individuals	200
Psychopharmacological treatment methods	200
Cognitive behavioural interventions	201
PTSD group therapy	203
Significance of the Study 3 and hypotheses to be tested	205
Chapter 9	
Study 3: Method	209
Participants	209
Format of the PTSD program.	211
Standard measures employed	212
Demographic information.	213

Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS)	213
World Health Organization Quality of Life Short Form	
(WHOQoL-BREF)	214
The PTSD Checklist- Military Version (PCL-M)	215
The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)	215
ACPMH Dissociation measure	216
Disclosure checklist	217
Length of disclosure.	217
Observed distress.	219
Confidants' reactions to disclosure.	220
Interrater reliability of disclosure measures.	224
Procedure	226
Pre-test instructions.	226
Post-test instructions.	226
Power analysis and considerations regarding sample size.	227
Chapter 10	
Study 3: Results and Discussion.	229
Data screening	229
Preliminary transformations and relationships among demographics and	
outcome variables.	230
The relationships among resilience at intake and concurrent psychological	
symptoms, world assumptions, and quality of life	232
Relationships among pre-test subscale scores on the World Assumption	
Scale and post-test psychological symptoms	234

WAS and AUDIT alcohol use scores	238
WAS and PCL PTSD symptoms.	238
WAS and HADS Anxiety scores.	. 239
WAS and HADS Depression scores	. 240
WAS and Frequency of dissociation.	241
WAS and Severity of dissociation.	241
Did resilience, world assumptions, quality of life, and psychological health	
improve over the treatment program?	.243
Relationships between disclosure and resilience at intake to the PTSD	
program and psychological symptoms, world assumptions, and quality	
of life at the end of treatment.	246
Did disclosure during treatment account for improvements in psychological	
symptoms when participants' pre-test resilience scores were taken into	
account?	248
PCL PTSD symptoms.	251
HADS Anxiety.	252
HADS Depression.	253
Frequency of dissociation.	254
Severity of dissociation.	255
AUDIT alcohol use.	256
Participants' initial levels of resilience and subsequent disclosure as	
predictors of quality of life at the end of the PTSD program	257
WHOQoL Overall quality of life	258
WHOQoL Physical health	259

WHOQoL Psychological health	260
WHOQoL Social Relationships	261
Did an increase in resilience or disclosure predict improvements in	
psychological symptoms from pre-test to post-test?	263
PCL PTSD symptoms.	264
HADS Anxiety	265
HADS Depression.	266
Frequency of dissociation.	267
Severity of dissociation.	268
AUDIT alcohol use	269
Did an increase in resilience or aspects of disclosure predict improvements	
in quality of life from pre-test to post-test?	270
WHOQoL Overall quality of life	271
WHOQoL Physical health	272
WHOQoL Psychological health	273
WHOQoL Social relationships.	274
Discussion	276
Higher resilience at the start of the PTSD program related to fewer	
psychological symptoms, more positive world assumptions, and greater	
quality of life at the start of the program.	276
Initial world assumptions predicted psychological symptoms at the end of	
the PTSD program.	278
Improvements observed over the PTSD program.	281
The relationship between resilience, disclosure, psychological symptoms.	

assumptions about the self and world, and quality of life	286
Psychological symptoms	286
Quality of life	290
Summary of findings	293
Chapter 11	
General discussion and concluding remarks	295
Extending the emotion processing model	301
Broader significance of findings.	301
Summary of studies conducted	303
References	305

Appendices

Study 1

Appendix A: Ethical approval form and questionnaires for Study 1	4
World Assumptions Scale (WAS)	5
The Cognitive Hardiness subscale of the Stress Assessment Inventory 350	6
The General Perceived Self-Efficacy Scale	8
Social Support Scale	59
Self-Deception Questionnaire (SDQ)	50
The Hospital Anxiety and Stress Scale (HADS)	1
The Appraisal of Life Events Scale (ALES)	2
The Social Readjustment Rating Questionnaire (SRRQ)	3
Appendix B: Study 1 information sheet and informed consent form	4
Appendix C: Debriefing sheet for Study 1	6
Appendix D: Variables that included missing data	7
Appendix E:	
Table 1. Non-significant differences across outcome measures in terms	
of education	8
Table 2. Non-significant differences across outcome measures in terms	
of marital status	9
Table 3. Non-significant differences across outcome measures in terms	
of employment status	0
Table 4. Non-significant gender differences across outcome measures 37	1
Study 2	

Appendix F: Ethical approval form and additional questionnaires for Study 2... 372

	Posttraumatic Growth Inventory (PTGI)	. 373
	Pennebaker Inventory of Limbic Languidness (PILL)	374
	Appendix G: Study 2 information sheet and informed consent form	.375
	Appendix H: Debriefing sheet for Study 2	377
	Appendix I: Non-normal distributions of continuous data	378
	Appendix J:	
	Table 1. Non-significant gender differences across outcome measures at	
	pre- and post-test	379
	Table 2. Non-significant differences across outcome measures at pre-	
	and post-test in terms of marital status	. 380
	Table 3. Non-significant differences across outcome measures at pre-	
	and post-test in terms of employment status	381
	Table 4. Non-significant differences across outcome measures at pre-	
	and post-test in terms of education level.	. 382
I	Appendix K:	
	Table 1. Non-significant gender differences for changes in outcome	
	measures over time (difference scores) for written-disclosure	
	participants	383
	Table 2. Non-significant changes in outcome measures over time	
	(difference scores) for written-disclosure participants, in terms of marital	
	status	384
	Table 3. Non-significant changes in outcome measures over time	
	(difference scores) for written-disclosure participants, in terms of	
	employment status	. 385

	Table 4. Non-significant changes in outcome measures over time	
	(difference scores) for written-disclosure participants, in terms of	
	education level.	. 386
St	udy 3	
	Appendix L: Ethical approval form and additional questionnaires for Study 3	387
	Appendix M: Standard measures for Study 3	388
	The World Health Organization Quality of Life Short Form	
	(WHOQoL-BREF)	388
	The PTSD Checklist- Military Version (PCL-M)	389
	The Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test (AUDIT)	390
	ACPMH Dissociation measure	391
	Appendix N: Study 3 information sheet and informed consent form	392
	Appendix O: Debriefing Sheet for Study 3	394
	Appendix P: Non-normal distributions of continuous data	395
	Appendix Q: Variables that included missing data	396

List of Tables

Study 1

	Table 1: Demographic characteristics of participants	70
	Table 2: Frequency of stressful life events	71
	Table 3: Pearson's correlations among all continuous variables	84
	Table 4: Adjusted means and standard error of World Assumption Scale	
	subscale scores as a function of Overall Confidants' Reactions to	
	Disclosure, after controlling for gender, marital status and education level	88
	Table 5: Resilience predicting lower HADS Anxiety scores	90
	Table 6: Resilience predicting lower HADS Depression scores	91
	Table 7: Resilience predicting higher Self-Worth scores	94
	Table 8: Resilience predicting higher Luck scores	.92
St	tudy 2	
	Table 9: Demographic characteristics of participants in Study 2	135
	Table 10: Frequency of stressful life events reported by Study 2 participants	. 136
	Table 11: Significant gender differences across outcome measures at pre-	
	and post-test	150
	Table 12. Significant differences across outcome measures at pre- and	
	post-test in terms of marital status	150
	Table 13. Zero-order correlations among variables at the start of the study	153
	Table 14. Univariate tests for the Condition x Time interaction	155
	Table 15. Zero-order correlations reflecting changes in resilience,	
	psychological health, and physical health from pre-test to post-test among	
	the written-disclosure group	164

	Table 16. Improved self-efficacy from pre-test to post-test predicting	
	improved world assumptions following written disclosure	165
St	tudy 3	
	Table 17. Demographic details and military service histories of	
	participants' attending the PTSD program	210
	Table 18. Operational definitions of behaviours indicative of observed	
	distress	221
	Table 19. Descriptions of how reactions from clients and counsellors were	
	categorised	222
	Table 20. Recording confidants' reactions to disclosure	223
	Table 21. Zero-order correlations among resilience, quality of life, and	
	psychological symptoms at intake to the program	233
	Table 22. Zero-order correlations among measures of resilience and world	
	assumptions at intake to the PTSD program.	235
	Table 23. Relationship among WAS subscale scores at intake and	
	psychological symptoms at the end of the PTSD program	236
	Table 24. Alcohol use at the end of the PTSD program as predicted by	
	world assumptions at intake	239
	Table 25. PTSD symptoms at the end of the treatment program as predicted	
	by initial world assumption scores.	239
	Table 26. Anxiety levels at the end of the PTSD program as predicted by	
	initial world assumption scores.	240
	Table 27. Depression scores at the end of the PTSD program as predicted	
	by world assumptions at the start of the program	241

Table 28. Frequency of dissociation at the end of the PTSD program as
predicted by initial world assumption scores
Table 29. Severity of dissociation at the end of the PTSD program as
predicted by world assumption scores at intake to the program
Table 30. Changes in resilience from intake to the end of the PTSD program 243
Table 31. Comparison of quality of life at the start and the end of the PTSD
Program
Table 32. Changes in world assumptions over the course of the PTSD
program. 245
Table 33. Changes in psychological health from the start to the end of the
PTSD program. 245
Table 34. Correlations among resilience at intake, disclosure, and
psychological symptoms at the end of the PTSD program. 247
Table 35. Correlations among resilience at intake, disclosure, and quality of
life at the end of the PTSD program. 249
Table 36. Disclosure and pre-test resilience measures: Relationships to World
Assumptions Scale (WAS) scores at the end of the PTSD program
Table 37. PTSD symptoms at the end of the treatment program as predicted
by initial resilience levels and trauma-related disclosure
Table 38. Anxiety at the end of the PTSD program as predicted by resilience
scores at intake to the program and trauma-related disclosure
Table 39. Depressive symptoms at the end of the PTSD program as predicted
by trauma-related disclosure and resilience levels at intake to the program 254
Table 40. The ability of trauma-related disclosure and initial resilience to

predict frequency of dissociation at post-test
Table 41. The predictive ability of disclosure and initial resilience levels in
terms of severity of dissociation at the end of the PTSD program
Table 42. Alcohol use at completion of the PTSD program as predicted by
trauma-related disclosure and resilience levels at the start of the program 257
Table 43. Overall quality of life at the end of the PTSD program as
predicted by initial levels of resilience and subsequent disclosure
Table 44. Physical health at the end of the PTSD program as predicted by
initial levels of resilience and subsequent disclosure
Table 45. Psychological health at the end of the PTSD program as predicted
by initial levels of resilience and subsequent disclosure
Table 46. Health of social relationships at the end of the PTSD program as
predicted by initial levels of resilience and subsequent disclosure
Table 47. Fewer PTSD symptoms as predicted by disclosure and changes in
resilience over the course of the study
Table 48. Reductions in anxiety as predicted by trauma-related disclosure
and changes in resilience over the course of the program
Table 49. Reductions in depression as predicted by trauma-related
disclosure and increased resilience over the course of the program
Table 50. Reductions in the frequency of dissociation as predicted by trauma-
related disclosure and increased resilience over the course of the program 267
Table 51. Less severe dissociation at post-test as predicted by trauma-related
disclosure and increased resilience over the course of the PTSD program 268
Table 52. Alcohol use over treatment as predicted by age, trauma-related

disclosure and increased resilience over the course of the PTSD program 269
Table 53. Increased resilience and subsequent disclosure predicting improved
overall quality of life over the course of the PTSD program
Table 54. Increased resilience and subsequent disclosure predicting
improved physical health over the course of the PTSD program
Table 55. Age, changes in resilience, and subsequent disclosure predicting
improved psychological health over the course of the PTSD program274
Table 56. Increased resilience and subsequent disclosure predicting the
improved health of social relationships over the course of the PTSD program 275

Figures

Figure 1. A schematic diagram of emotion processing theory	. 34
Figure 2. A schematic diagram of proposed extensions to emotion	
processing theory	. 39
Figure 3. Frequency of PILL physical symptoms for written disclosure and	
control participants at pre-test and post-test.	. 156
Figure 4. Degree of posttraumatic growth for written disclosure and control	
participants at pre-test and post-test	157
Figure 5. Total World Assumption Scale (WAS) scores for written disclosure	
and control participants at pre-test and post-test.	. 158
Figure 6. Hardiness scores for written disclosure and control participants at	
pre-test and post-test	. 159
Figure 7. Self-efficacy scores for written disclosure and control participants	
at pre-test and post-test.	. 160
Figure 8. Frequency of HADS Anxiety for written disclosure and control	
participants at pre-test and post-test.	161
Figure 9. Extensions to emotion processing theory based on the results of	
the current research.	. 302

Abstract

Selye (1950, 1984) described the human body's physiological response to stress as a means of coping with adverse conditions. It is plausible that cognitive processes have also been selected to assist humans in coping and achieving resilience in adversity. The core objectives of this dissertation were to extend emotion processing theory (Foa & Kozak, 1986) by examining the relationships among emotional disclosure, resilience, and health-related consequences following stress and traumatic events. Three studies were conducted employing different research designs: correlational, experimental, and observational. A sample of university students and individuals from the wider community (N = 109) participated in the first study that examined whether disclosure and a number of resilience factors (hardiness, self-efficacy, social support, and selfdeception) were related to one's current feelings about stressful events. Results indicated that participants who received supportive reactions from others when discussing stressful experiences tended to hold positive assumptions about the self, others, and world. In addition, participants with high levels of resilience (hardiness, self-efficacy, social support, and self-deception) tended to report fewer psychological health concerns and had more positive beliefs about themselves, others and the world.

The second study utilized an experimental design to examine whether written emotional disclosure of stressful experiences was related to overall greater health. Results showed that individuals from the general population (N=90) who wrote about personally distressing stressors three times over approximately three weeks tended to report significantly better psychological and physical health, when compared to those who wrote about non-stressful activities. A unique finding was that participants who wrote about their stressful life experiences reported fewer physical and psychological

symptoms if they also reported improved hardiness and self-efficacy following written expression of their most stressful life experiences.

The final study consisted of a sample of Vietnam veterans, peacekeepers, and police members (N = 65) attending a nationally approved PTSD treatment program. To study the results of disclosure within a group format, a disclosure checklist was developed to assess the length of time, the amount of distress, and the type of reactions received from others following trauma-related disclosure. Overall, participants diagnosed with PTSD had better psychological, physical health, world assumptions, and quality of life at both the start and the end of the PTSD program if they had high levels of initial resilience. These findings may have implications for screening procedures for military and paramilitary organisations to assist in identifying individuals who are more likely to recover following exposure to traumatic events. It was also found that participants who developed increased resilience (in particular, higher hardiness) and experienced less distress when discussing their traumatic experiences tended to report fewer psychological symptoms and greater quality of life at the end of the PTSD program. This suggests that efforts should be made by health workers to increase resilience in counselling sessions and to prevent distress levels escalating too far during trauma therapy. Alternatively, these results may reflect that participants were less likely to show distress when discussing their traumatic experiences if they were coping better and had less severe psychological symptoms to begin with. Taken together, the findings of the three studies undertaken suggest that the emotional processing model may be fruitfully extended by including resilience and disclosure as important predictors of response to stress and trauma and in the recovery from PTSD.