

# Changes in the pattern of sun exposure and sun protection in young children from tropical Australia <sup>Q14</sup>

Annika Smith, MBBS,<sup>a,b</sup> Simone Harrison, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Madeleine Nowak, PhD,<sup>a</sup> Petra Buettner, PhD,<sup>a</sup> and Robert MacLennan, FRACP<sup>a,c</sup> <sup>Q15</sup>  
Townsville, St Leonards, and Herston, Australia

**Background:** Australia has one of the highest rates of skin cancer globally. Lifetime risk is associated with childhood sun exposure.

**Objective:** We sought to investigate whether skin cancer prevention programs have resulted in improvements in sun-exposure and sun-protection behavior among young children in tropical Australia.

**Methods:** Two cohorts of 12-to 35-month-old children from Townsville, Australia, were compared: cohort 1 was recruited from hospital birth records (1991) and cohort 2 was recruited via local child-care centers (1999-2002). Children's phenotypic characteristics were assessed. Parents completed questionnaires detailing children's demographic characteristics, and sun-exposure and sun-protective practices.

**Results:** Although cohort 2 of 1-year-old children spent more time in the sun than cohort 1 (median 2.2 vs 2.8 h/d;  $P = .002$ ), a higher proportion almost always wore sunscreen and a swim-shirt year round. Although more 1-year-old children in cohort 2 had experienced a sunburn (35.5% vs 51.2%;  $P = .007$ ), both cohort 2 age groups experienced fewer hours of sun exposure to the back of the trunk ( $P < .001$ ), were less likely to have been sunburned on the back/shoulders (age 1 year 34.8% vs 10.1% and age 2 years 52% vs 10.1%;  $P < .001$ ), and acquired fewer melanocytic nevi at these sites ( $P < .001$ ).

**Limitations:** There was potential for socially desirable responses (information bias).

**Conclusion:** Although duration of sun exposure in early childhood did not decrease during an 8-year period, reported use of personal sun protection did. The observed increase in popularity of swim-shirts and sunscreen between cohorts coincided with the development of significantly fewer melanocytic nevi in these children. (J Am Acad Dermatol 10.1016/j.jaad.2012.10.057.)

**Key words:** early childhood; melanocytic nevi; skin cancer prevention; sun exposure; sun protection; sunburn; trends over time; tropical Australia.

Queensland, Australia, has one of the highest rates of skin cancer<sup>1</sup> with melanoma incidence continuing to increase.<sup>2</sup> The risks of developing melanoma and melanocytic nevi (MN),

the strongest risk factor for melanoma,<sup>3</sup> are directly linked to high levels of sun exposure in early childhood.<sup>4-6</sup> Evaluation of prevention campaigns suggests sun-protective behaviors have improved<sup>7-9</sup> but

From the Skin Cancer Research Group, School of Public Health, Tropical Medicine and Rehabilitation Sciences, James Cook University, Townsville<sup>a</sup>; Royal North Shore Hospital, St Leonards<sup>b</sup>; and Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Herston.<sup>c</sup> This research was supported by funding from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council and Queensland Health. Drs Harrison and Nowak received some salary support from the Cancer Council Queensland.

Accepted for publication October 27, 2012.

Reprint requests: Simone Harrison, PhD, Skin Cancer Research Group, School of Public Health, Tropical Medicine and Rehabilitation Sciences, James Cook University, 1 James Cook Dr, Townsville, Queensland 4811, Australia. E-mail: Simone.Harrison@jcu.edu.au. <sup>Q3</sup>

Published online ●●●.

0190-9622/\$36.00

© 2013 by the American Academy of Dermatology, Inc.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jaad.2012.10.057>

**Q1** Conflicts of interest: None declared.

**Q2** Presented by Ms Smith at the First International Conference on Ultraviolet and Skin Cancer Prevention in Copenhagen, Denmark, in May 2011 as "Skin Cancer Prevention Beginning in Childhood: An Australian Perspective."

111 it is uncertain whether this will translate into a  
112 reduction in melanoma incidence.

113 National Skin Cancer Prevention goals for  
114 Australia target children and adolescents for primary  
115 prevention<sup>10</sup> and align with those of the World  
116 Health Organization<sup>11</sup> to minimize sun damage and  
117 foster lifelong sun-protective behaviors.<sup>10</sup> These are  
118 best achieved through multi-  
119 faceted skin cancer  
120 programs<sup>9</sup> advocating use of  
121 shade, sunscreen, hats, and  
122 clothing, and sun avoidance  
123 at peak ultraviolet (UV) radi-  
124 ation times,<sup>7,12</sup> some of  
125 which are underused, partic-  
126 ularly in childhood.<sup>10,13</sup>

127 MN, the precursor lesions  
128 of up to 60% of melanomas,<sup>14</sup>  
129 are the most important  
130 biomarker for melanoma.<sup>3,15</sup>  
131 Children raised in  
132 Queensland, Australia, de-  
133 velop MN earlier and in  
134 higher numbers than chil-  
135 dren raised elsewhere.<sup>5,16,17</sup>  
136 As nevus development is re-  
137 lated to sun exposure during  
138 childhood,<sup>5,16</sup> MN offer a short-term measure of the  
139 efficacy of sun protection thereby facilitating objec-  
140 tive assessment of skin cancer prevention  
141 programs.<sup>13</sup>

142 Few studies have evaluated trends in childhood  
143 sun protection using modifiable biomarkers such as  
144 MN.<sup>13</sup> We assessed changes in sun-safety practices  
145 during a period of more than 8 years to inform  
146 current and future skin cancer prevention activities.

## 147 METHODS

148 Sun-protective practices of 2 cohorts of 12-to 35-  
149 month-old children from Townsville, Australia, were  
150 compared more than years apart: in 1991 and in 1999  
151 through 2002. Townsville (latitude 19°16'S) in North  
152 Queensland, Australia, has a dry, tropical climate and  
153 high levels of ambient solar UV radiation throughout  
154 the year.<sup>18</sup>

## 155 Recruitment

156 The first cohort was recruited in 1991 from hos-  
157 pital birth records of the 2 main maternity hospitals in  
158 Townsville, Australia. A letter and questionnaire  
159 were sent to mothers, inviting them to participate.  
160 Cohort 1 included all children younger than 3 years  
161 from the original article.<sup>5</sup> This subset was selected to  
162 match the approximate age of cohort 2. There were  
163 201 children who fulfilled the inclusion criteria:

164 Caucasian (at least 3 grandparents of European  
165 origin), with parents who intended to remain in the  
166 study area and provided written consent. Cohort  
167 1 included 201 children (n = 95 age 1 year [12-23  
168 months] and n = 106 age 2 years [24-35 months]).  
169

170 The second cohort was recruited via 26 local  
171 child-care centers in Townsville, Australia, between  
172 1999 and 2002. In all, 25  
173 (96.2%) child-care centers  
174 participated. Center directors  
175 provided enrollment lists  
176 (first name, date of birth,  
177 and attendance pattern of  
178 children age <3 years). A  
179 study information sheet,  
180 questionnaire, and consent  
181 form were sent to parents of  
182 eligible children via child-  
183 care centers. The inclusion  
184 criteria for cohort 2 were the  
185 same as for cohort 1 plus  
186 regular attendance at a par-  
187 ticipating child-care center  
188 between November 1999  
189 and July 2002. Cohort 2 in-  
190 cluded 463 children aged 12  
191 to 35 months (n = 394 age  
192 1 year [12-23 months] and n = 69 age 2 years [24-35  
193 months]). Cohort 2 formed the baseline group for a  
194 randomized controlled intervention trial to deter-  
195 mine whether the development of MN in early  
196 childhood can be prevented or delayed by using  
197 sun-protective clothing.<sup>13</sup>

## 198 Demographics

199 Age (months), sex, place of birth, and time spent  
200 in the tropics were determined from birth and child-  
201 care records and parent questionnaires.  
202 Socioeconomic status of the child's suburb was  
203 classified using the Socioeconomic Indexes for  
204 Areas (3 levels).<sup>19</sup> Parents' education levels were  
205 determined from questionnaires, and ethnicity was  
206 assessed according to the number of the child's  
207 Caucasian grandparents.  
208

## 209 Clinical examination (phenotype and MN)

210 Hair and eye color were recorded by reference to  
211 standard charts as described previously<sup>5</sup> and catego-  
212 rized for analysis following the method of Kelly et al.<sup>17</sup>  
213 Skin reflectance of the inner upper aspect of the arm  
214 was determined using a reflectance spectrophotom-  
215 eter (Colormet 3.1, Newfoundland, Canada, at 680 nm  
216 [cohort 1]; Evans Electroselenium Ltd, model 99;  
217 Diffusion Systems Ltd, London, United Kingdom at  
218 685 nm [cohort 2]) for melanin discrimination and  
219  
220

## CAPSULE SUMMARY

- The risk of developing melanoma and melanocytic nevi is linked to high levels of sun exposure in early childhood.
- Although sun exposure in early childhood did not decrease over an 8-year period in Townsville, Australia, reported sun-protective practices improved and children developed significantly fewer melanocytic nevi.
- Maintaining the focus on reducing sun exposure and increasing sun protection in infants and young children is important, particularly in regions of high ultraviolet radiation.

then categorized as fair/medium/olive based on previously defined cutpoints.<sup>20</sup> Freckling was recorded as present or absent. Baseline spectrophotometer measurements were missing for 56 children in cohort 2 because of instrument repairs. Subjective assessment of skin color was assigned to these children (53 fair, 3 medium).

At baseline full-body examinations for MN of all sizes (30 body sites excluding the buttocks, genitals, and scalp) were conducted using a standard international protocol.<sup>21</sup> The presence or absence of MN, body site distribution (back of trunk), and mean number of MN were recorded by one of the authors (S. H.), after training by dermatologists in the recognition of MN.

### Questionnaires

Participants' parents completed a comprehensive baseline questionnaire covering the child's demographic and pigmentary characteristics, sunburn history, and sun-exposure and sun-protective practices throughout the year. Habitual sun exposure was determined using charts where parents marked on a line divided into hourly intervals, the time their child usually spent outside in the sun between 6 AM and 7 PM. Information about the hours spent outside on typical weekdays and weekend days and the frequency of sun exposure at locations such as the beach and pool were collected along with "playing outside in the sun during the warmer months of the year" (classified according to UV index of Townsville, Australia). Sunburn history was assessed according to frequency and nature of sunburn "redness without peeling," "redness with peeling," and "pain and blistering." Parents were asked to mark the site of sunburn on a body-site diagram from which the frequency of sunburn on the back and shoulders was derived.

The variable "sun-protective practice" was derived from scores for frequency of sunscreen and swim-shirt use in the summer and winter months (never = 0, less than half the time = 1, half the time = 2, more than half the time = 3, almost always = 4) recorded by parents in both summer and winter months. Data relating to frequency of hat use in the summer and winter months were only recorded for cohort 2.

Both studies were approved by James Cook University Human Ethics Committee and written consent was provided by parents/guardians of participants.

### Statistical analysis

Numeric variables with a skewed distribution were described using median values and

interquartile ranges; approximately normally distributed numeric variables were described using means and SD. Bivariate analysis of demographic factors, skin phenotypic factors, and sun-exposure and sun-protective practice analysis used standard statistical tests ( $\chi^2$  test, *t* test, nonparametric Mann-Whitney, and Kruskal-Wallis tests as appropriate).

Multivariate linear regression analyses were used to assess the effect of the 2 cohorts on: (1) the average number of hours spent outside in the sun on a typical day in the previous year; and (2) the total number of MN. Both outcome measures were skewed and were log-transformed to meet the normality assumptions of the model. Both multivariate analyses included the following characteristics: cohort (1991 or 1999), gender, age in months, socioeconomic index of advantage according to Australian Bureau of Statistics<sup>19</sup> (categorized as low, medium, high), ethnicity (Caucasian or not), level of parental education (neither, one, or both parents tertiary qualified), born in the tropics, hair color (black/brown, fair/blond, red), eye color (brown/black, hazel, blue/green), measurement of skin reflectance (olive, medium, fair), propensity to burn (tends to burn, does not tend to burn), and tanning ability (deep, moderate, slight, never). Four characteristics (ethnicity *n* = 31; skin reflectance *n* = 49; propensity to burn *n* = 107; tanning ability *n* = 68) had 5% or more missing values. For those characteristics, separate missing categories were created and the model adjusted accordingly.

Statistical analysis was conducted using software (SPSS for Windows, Release 18, IBM Corp, Armonk, NY). All significance tests were 2-sided at the .05 level. A *P* value of less than .05 was considered significant a priori.

## RESULTS

### Description of participating children

Of the 201 children in cohort 1, 51.6% of 1-year-olds (mean age  $18.8 \pm 3$  months) and 49.1% of 2-year-olds (mean age  $29.6 \pm 4.1$  months) were boys (Table I). Of the 463 children in cohort 2, 54.6% of 1-year-olds (mean age  $16.9 \pm 3.4$  months) and 50.7% of 2-year-olds (mean age  $27 \pm 2.9$  months) were boys (Table I). Children in both cohorts were predominantly Caucasian, born in the tropics, and from higher socioeconomic groups. More children in cohort 2 had fair skin, whereas children in cohort 1 were more likely to develop a moderate tan (Table I).

### Sun exposure

The median number of hours spent in the sun on a typical day was higher in cohort 2 although this did not reach significance among the 2-year-olds

276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303  
304  
305  
306  
307  
308  
309  
310  
311  
312  
313  
314  
315  
316  
317  
318  
319  
320  
321  
322  
323  
324  
325  
326  
327  
328  
329  
330

**Table I.** Demographic and pigmentary characteristics of 2 cohorts of young children of same age recruited more than 8 years apart in tropical Australia

Risk factor	Age 1 y (12-23 mo)			Age 2-y (24-35 mo)		
	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 95	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 394	P value	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 106	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 69	P value
<b>Demographic characteristics</b>						
Mean age, mo ( $\pm$ SD)	18.8 (3.0)	16.9 (3.4)	.0005*	29.6 (4.1)	27 (2.9)	.0005*
Median age, mo (IQR)	19 (17-22)	16.8 (14-20)		30 (25-33.25)	27 (25-29)	
Boys	49 (51.6%)	215 (54.6%)	.6 <sup>†</sup>	52 (49.1%)	35 (50.7%)	.829 <sup>†</sup>
Girls	46 (48.4%)	179 (45.4%)		54 (50.9%)	34 (49.3%)	
<b>SES of residence<sup>‡</sup></b>						
Children living in low SES suburb	10 (10.6%)	115 (29.3%)	.0005 <sup>†</sup>	14 (13.2%)	20 (29.0%)	.0005 <sup>†</sup>
Children living in medium SES suburb	83 (83.3%)	216 (55.0%)		92 (86.8%)	35 (50.7%)	
Children living in high SES suburb	1 (1.1%)	62 (15.8%)		0 (0%)	14 (20.3%)	
<b>Ethnicity</b>						
Caucasian ( $\geq$ 3 European grandparents)	93 (97.9%)	347 (88.1%)	.004 <sup>†</sup>	103 (97.2%)	64 (92.8%)	.266 <sup>§</sup>
Non-Caucasian ( $\geq$ 2 Non-European grandparents)	2 (2.1%)	47 (11.9%)		3 (2.8%)	5 (7.2%)	
<b>Combined education level of parents</b>						
Neither parent tertiary qualified	59 (62.1%)	232 (61.6%)	.859 <sup>†</sup>	71 (67%)	45 (67.2%)	.972 <sup>†</sup>
One tertiary-educated parent	25 (26.3%)	93 (24.7%)		22 (20.8%)	13 (19.4%)	
Two tertiary-educated parents	11 (11.6%)	51 (13.6%)		13 (12.3%)	9 (13.4%)	
Children born in temperate zone	0 (0%)	40 (10.2%)	.001 <sup>†</sup>	0 (0%)	10 (14.5%)	.0005 <sup>§</sup>
Children born in tropics	95 (100%)	354 (89.8%)		106 (100%)	59 (85.5%)	
Mean ( $\pm$ SD) duration living in tropics, mo	18.8 (3.0)	15.8 (4.7)	.0005*	29.6 (4.1)	25.4 (5.9)	.0005*
Median duration living in tropics, mo (IQR)	19 (17-22)	16 (13-19)		30 (25-33.25)	26 (24-29)	
<b>Pigmentary characteristics</b>						
<b>Hair color</b>						
Black	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)	.063 <sup>§</sup>	0 (0%)	0 (0%)	.941 <sup>§</sup>
Brown	14 (14.7%)	95 (24.1%)		31 (29.2%)	19 (27.5%)	
Blonde/fair	78 (82.1%)	271 (68.8%)		73 (68.9%)	49 (71.0%)	
Red	3 (3.2%)	27 (6.9%)		2 (1.9%)	1 (1.4%)	
<b>Eye color</b>						
Brown/black	18 (18.9%)	112 (28.4%)	.122 <sup>§</sup>	30 (28.3%)	14 (20.3%)	.036 <sup>§</sup>
Hazel	14 (14.7%)	36 (9.1%)		15 (14.2%)	6 (8.7%)	
Blue	63 (66.3%)	245 (62.2%)		61 (57.5%)	45 (65.2%)	
Green	0 (0%)	1 (0.3%)		0 (0%)	4 (5.8%)	
<b>Inner upper aspect of arm reflectance categories</b>						
Olive/dark (<64%)	14 (15.1%)	3 (0.8%)	.0005 <sup>†</sup>	8 (7.8%)	0 (0%)	.01 <sup>§</sup>
Medium (64%-66.9%)	11 (11.8%)	14 (3.9%)		11 (10.7%)	2 (3.2%)	
Fair ( $\geq$ 67%)	68 (73.1%)	340 (95.2%)		84 (81.6%)	60 (96.8%)	
<b>Freckling</b>						
Absent	83 (87.4%)	376 (95.4%)	.003 <sup>†</sup>	77 (72.6%)	61 (88.4%)	.013 <sup>†</sup>
Present	12 (12.6%)	18 (4.6%)		29 (27.4%)	8 (11.6%)	
<b>Propensity to sunburn</b>						
Rarely/never burns	2 (3.7%)	71 (19.2%)	.005 <sup>†</sup>	10 (14.1%)	13 (20.6%)	.316 <sup>†</sup>
Tends to burn	52 (96.3%)	298 (80.8%)		61 (85.9%)	50 (79.4%)	
<b>Tanning ability</b>						
Deep tan	4 (4.3%)	16 (4.7%)	.0005 <sup>†</sup>	5 (4.8%)	2 (3.6%)	.0005 <sup>§</sup>
Moderate tan	53 (56.4%)	72 (21.1%)		68 (64.8%)	9 (16.4%)	
Slight/light/minimal tan	10 (10.6%)	210 (61.4%)		10 (9.5%)	37 (67.3%)	
Never develops a tan	27 (28.7%)	44 (12.9%)		22 (21.0%)	7 (12.7%)	

IQR, Interquartile range; SES, socioeconomic status.

\*Nonparametric Mann-Whitney test.

<sup>†</sup>Pearson  $\chi^2$  test.<sup>‡</sup>SES of suburb was based on Socioeconomic Indexes for Areas indicators (Australian Bureau of Statistics 1996).<sup>§</sup>Fisher exact test.

**Table II.** Changes in sun exposure habits of young children of same age in 2 cohorts recruited more than 8 years apart in tropical Australia

	Age 1 y (12-23 mo)			Age 2 y (24-35 mo)		
	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 95	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 394	P value	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 106	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 69	P value
<b>Sun exposure of children while playing outside</b>						
<b>How often child plays outside in sun</b>						
Rarely/never	6 (6.3%)	31 (7.9%)	.061*	3 (2.8%)	7 (10.1%)	.047 <sup>†</sup>
1-2 times/mo	3 (3.2%)	18 (4.6%)		2 (1.9%)	1 (1.4%)	
3-4 times/mo	0 (0%)	20 (5.1%)		0 (0%)	2 (2.9%)	
1-2 times/wk	8 (8.4%)	57 (14.5%)		14 (13.2%)	3 (4.3%)	
3-4 times/wk	24 (25.3%)	69 (17.6%)		25 (23.6%)	14 (20.3%)	
Almost every day	54 (56.8%)	198 (50.4%)		62 (58.5%)	42 (60.9%)	
<b>No. of hours spent outside in sun on typical day in previous year</b>						
Mean (±SD)	2.4 (1.7)	3.1 (2.0)	.002 <sup>‡</sup>	2.7 (1.7)	3.2 (2.1)	.082 <sup>‡</sup>
Median (IQR)	2.2 (0.9-3.6)	2.78 (1.6-4.3)		2.3 (1.5-3.8)	3 (1.7-4.6)	
<b>Outdoor swimming and related aquatic activities</b>						
<b>How often does child swim at outdoor pool?</b>						
Rarely/never	40 (42.1%)	99 (25.2%)	.0005*	38 (35.8%)	15 (21.7%)	.03*
1-2 times/mo	24 (25.3%)	71 (18.1%)		32 (30.2%)	10 (14.5%)	
1-2 times/wk	14 (14.7%)	124 (31.6%)		22 (20.8%)	31 (44.9%)	
3-4 times/wk	12 (12.6%)	52 (13.2%)		8 (7.5%)	9 (13.0%)	
Almost every day	5 (5.3%)	47 (12.0%)		6 (5.7%)	4 (5.8%)	
<b>How often does child go to beach?</b>						
Rarely/never	65 (68.4%)	161 (41.0%)	.0005 <sup>†</sup>	60 (56.6%)	17 (24.6%)	.0005 <sup>†</sup>
1-2 times/mo	22 (23.2%)	129 (32.8%)		42 (39.6%)	30 (43.5%)	
1-2 times/wk	7 (7.4%)	95 (24.2%)		3 (2.8%)	22 (31.9%)	
3-4 times/wk	1 (1.1%)	6 (1.5%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	
Almost every day	0 (0%)	2 (0.5%)		1 (0.9%)	0 (0%)	
<b>Median total No. of hours spent playing in water in warmer half of year (IQR)</b>	42 (8-144.1)	72 (10.6-200)	.039 <sup>‡</sup>	60 (12-146)	77 (30-196)	.253 <sup>‡</sup>
<b>Median total No. of hours spent playing in water with back exposed during warmer half of year (IQR)</b>	9 (0-43.2)	0 (0-0)	.0005 <sup>‡</sup>	9 (0-52.9)	0 (0-0)	.0005 <sup>§</sup>
<b>Sunburn</b>						
<b>Ever been sunburned</b>						
No	60 (64.5%)	191 (48.8%)	.007*	49 (47.6%)	30 (44.1%)	.657*
Yes	33 (35.5%)	200 (51.2%)		54 (52.4%)	38 (55.9%)	
<b>Experienced sunburn causing "redness without peeling"</b>						
Never	63 (66.3%)	196 (49.9%)	.021 <sup>†</sup>	51 (48.1%)	30 (43.5%)	.915 <sup>†</sup>
Once-twice only	26 (27.4%)	170 (43.3%)		42 (39.6%)	31 (44.9%)	
1-2 times/y	5 (5.3%)	18 (4.6%)		10 (9.4%)	6 (8.7%)	
≥ 3 times/y	1 (1.1%)	9 (2.3%)		3 (2.8%)	2 (2.9%)	
<b>Experienced at least 1 sunburn that "burned with peeling"</b>						
No	91 (95.8%)	372 (94.7%)	.799 <sup>†</sup>	101 (96.2%)	68 (98.6%)	.649 <sup>†</sup>
Yes	4 (4.2%)	21 (5.3%)		4 (3.8%)	1 (1.4%)	
<b>Experienced at least 1 sunburn that was "very painful with blistering"</b>						
No	95 (100%)	389 (99.0%)	1.0 <sup>†</sup>	105 (100%)	69 (100%)	1.0 <sup>†</sup>
Yes	0 (0%)	4 (1.0%)		0 (0%)	0 (0%)	

Continued

Table II. Cont'd

	Age 1 y (12-23 mo)			Age 2 y (24-35 mo)		
	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 95	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 394	P value	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 106	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 69	P value
<b>Ever sunburned on back or shoulders?</b>						
No	60 (65.2%)	354 (89.9%)	.0005*	49 (48.0%)	62 (89.9%)	.0005*
Yes	32 (34.8%)	40 (10.1%)		53 (52.0%)	7 (10.1%)	
<b>Acquired MN</b>						
<b>Acquired MN on back of trunk</b>						
No	56 (60.2%)	334 (84.8 %)	.0005*	26 (25.2%)	42 (60.9%)	.0005*
Yes	37 (39.8%)	60 (15.2%)		77 (74.8%)	27 (39.1%)	
<b>No. of MN on back of trunk</b>						
Mean ( $\pm$ SD)	0.62 (1.0)	0.21 (0.6)	.0005 <sup>‡</sup>	2.45 (3.4)	0.67 (1.3)	.0005 <sup>‡</sup>
Median (IQR)	0 (0-1)	0 (0-0)		2 (0-3)	0 (0-1)	
Range	0-7	0-6		0-23	0-9	
<b>Acquired any MN on body</b>						
No	6 (6.3%)	72 (18.3%)	.004*	0 (0%)	5 (7.2%)	.009 <sup>†</sup>
Yes	89 (93.7%)	322 (81.7%)		106 (100%)	64 (92.8%)	

IQR, Interquartile range; MN, melanocytic nevi.

\*Pearson  $\chi^2$  test.

<sup>†</sup>Fisher exact test.

<sup>‡</sup>Nonparametric Mann-Whitney test.

<sup>§</sup>Kruskal-Wallis test.

[T2] (Table II). The median number of hours spent playing in the water in the warmer months was higher in cohort 2 although this did not reach significance in the 2-year-olds (Table II). A higher proportion of children in cohort 2 went to the beach ( $P < .001$ ) and swam in outdoor pools ( $P \leq .03$ ) (Table II). "Playing in water with back exposed during warmer half of year" was more frequent among the children in cohort 1 (both  $P < .001$ ) (Table II).

By the time they were 2 years old, more than half the children had been sunburned (52.4% in 1991; 55.9% in 1999) (Table II) although there was a higher percentage of 1-year-olds in cohort 2 (51.2%) than in cohort 1 (35.5%) with a recorded sunburn ( $P = .007$ ) (Table II). A lower proportion of children in cohort 2 had ever been sunburned on the back or shoulders (both  $P < .001$ ), acquired MN on the back of trunk (both  $P < .001$ ), or acquired any MN on their bodies ( $P < .01$ ) (Table II).

Multivariate analysis confirmed that children in cohort 2 had spent, on average, more hours outside in the sun on a typical day in the previous year than children in cohort 1 (regression coefficient 0.17; 95% confidence interval 0.04-0.30;  $P = .014$ ; log-transformed outcome) when adjusted for all potential confounders.

### Sun-protection measures

Although fewer than half the children almost always used sunscreen when outdoors during summer, this was higher among cohort 2 (1-year-

olds, 23.4% vs 48.1%,  $P < .001$ ; 2-year-olds, 20.0% vs 43.3%,  $P = .001$ ) (Table III). Overall the use of sunscreen during the winter months was lower than in summer, and although a higher proportion of children in cohort 2 used this sun-protective measure in winter, the difference between cohorts was not significant among the 2-year-olds (Table III). Significantly more children in cohort 2 almost always wore protective swimwear (a shirt or Lycra suit that protects the trunk) while swimming outdoors (both seasons  $P < .001$ ) (Table III). A Lycra cover-up suit was worn when swimming outdoors by 65.9% of 1-year-olds and 69.6% of 2-year-olds in cohort 2; these data were not available for cohort 1 (Table IV). Hat use data were only collected for cohort 2; a legionnaires hat was the most common hat type worn outdoors (1-year-olds, 44.9%; 2-year-olds, 54.4%). Furthermore, 63.8% of 1-year-olds and 73.5% of 2-year-olds almost always wore a hat when outdoors during summer whereas 53.5% of 1-year-olds and 60.3% of 2-year-olds did so during winter (Table IV).

Multivariate analysis confirmed that children in cohort 2 had, on average, acquired fewer MN than children in cohort 1 (regression coefficient  $-0.62$ ; 95% confidence interval  $-0.80$  to  $-0.44$ ;  $P < .001$ ; log-transformed outcome) when adjusted for all potential confounders.

### DISCUSSION

The major findings from this study were that the sun-protective measures undertaken appeared to

**Table III.** Changes in sun-protective practices in children of same age in 2 cohorts recruited more than 8 years apart in tropical Australia

	Age 1 y (12-23 mo)			Age 2 y (24-35 mo)		
	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 95	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 394	P value*	Cohort 1 (1991) N = 106	Cohort 2 (1999-2002) N = 69	P value*
<b>Use of sun protection</b>						
<b>Summer sunscreen use</b>						
Children who almost always wear sunscreen outdoors during summer	22 (23.4%)	188 (48.1%)	.0005	21 (20.0%)	29 (43.3%)	.001
Children who wear sunscreen less often	72 (76.6%)	203 (51.9%)		84 (80.0%)	38 (56.7%)	
<b>Winter sunscreen use</b>						
Children who almost always wear sunscreen outdoors during winter	16 (16.8%)	110 (28.3%)	.023	17 (16.3%)	18 (26.9%)	.096
Children who wear sunscreen less often	79 (83.2%)	279 (71.7%)		87 (83.7%)	49 (73.1%)	
<b>Summer swim-shirt use</b>						
Wears shirt or Lycra suit that protects trunk while swimming outdoors during summer						
Rarely/never	15 (18.8%)	92 (23.7%)	.0005	23 (23.2%)	12 (17.6%)	.0005
Sometimes	24 (30.0%)	29 (7.5%)		31 (31.3%)	3 (4.4%)	
Almost always	41 (51.3%)	268 (68.9%)		45 (45.5%)	53 (77.9%)	
<b>Winter swim-shirt use</b>						
Wears shirt or Lycra suit that protects trunk if swims outdoors during winter						
Rarely/never	11 (19.3%)	73 (27.5%)	.001	23 (28.8%)	7 (17.1%)	.001
Sometimes	16 (28.1%)	26 (9.8%)		22 (27.5%)	2 (4.9%)	
Almost always	30 (52.6%)	166 (62.6%)		35 (43.8%)	32 (78.0%)	

\*Pearson  $\chi^2$  test.

improve over the study decade, even though time spent in the sun did not decrease. Children in cohort 2 spent more time in the sun on a typical day and playing in water in the warmer months than those in cohort 1. A higher proportion went to the beach and swam in outdoor pools, although children in cohort 1 were more likely to play in water with their backs exposed during the warmer months. By age 2 years, more than half the children in this extreme UV radiation environment<sup>22</sup> had already been sunburned, although a smaller proportion of children in cohort 2 had been sunburned on the back of the trunk or acquired MN.

Although overall sunburn rates did not decrease, the increased popularity of sun-protective swimwear, protecting the torso, reduced the frequency of sunburn on the back of the trunk, and may explain why children in cohort 2 developed fewer MN at this body site. The reduction of this biomarker is promising given MN are the key risk markers for melanoma development.<sup>3</sup> The only other publication reporting a reduction in MN prevalence with increased sun protection involved 7-year-old Swedish children.<sup>23</sup> Overall, there was no reduction in sun exposure over the study decade, despite skin cancer prevention campaigns. Although more children in cohort 2 swam outdoors and went to the beach, most almost always

wore swim-shirts, and therefore spent less time playing in water with their back exposed. This suggests that some sun-safe messages are being heeded, although it may be at the cost of increased time spent in the sun, highlighting the importance of emphasizing the multifaceted nature of effective sun protection.

Other studies among infants and toddlers have yielded similar findings.<sup>10</sup> For example, in a survey of participants aged 0 to 45 months from southeast Queensland, Australia, a third had been sunburned by age 11 months and 82% had been burned by age 45 months.<sup>24</sup> Fewer children in our study experienced sunburn, possibly because of: the younger age of our cohorts; different definitions of sunburn; or the difference in geographic location (19°S vs 26°S).

A literature review found sunscreen was the most frequently used sun-protection method in children.<sup>10</sup> In the study reported here, hats and protective swimwear were worn more often than sunscreen. Children aged 1 to 3 years attending child care in Brisbane, Australia (27°S), in 2000 reportedly wore hats more often than children in this study.<sup>25</sup> In contrast, more children in cohort 2 wore legionnaire, or wide-brimmed hats than children attending child-care centers across Queensland, Australia, in 2002.<sup>26</sup>

Sun exposure is associated with nevus development,<sup>27</sup> a key determinant of melanoma risk.<sup>3,14</sup>

**Table IV.** Sun-protective measures used by young children recruited in 1999 through 2002 (cohort 2) in tropical Australia

		Age 1 y <sub>a</sub>		Age 2 y <sub>a</sub>
<b>Usual type of head covering worn outdoors</b>				
Nothing	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	16 (4.1%)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	2 (2.9%)
Cap		72 (18.3%)		8 (11.8%)
Wide-brimmed hat		129 (32.7%)		21 (30.9%)
Legionnaires hat		177 (44.9%)		37 (54.4%)
<b>Hat wearing: frequency during summer</b>				
Never wears hat in summer	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	16 (4.1%)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	2 (2.9%)
Wears hat less than half time		21 (5.4%)		1 (1.5%)
Wears hat about half time		44 (11.2%)		5 (11.2%)
Wears hat more than half time		61 (15.6%)		10 (14.7%)
Almost always		250 (63.8%)		50 (73.5%)
<b>Hat wearing: frequency during winter</b>				
Never wears hat in winter	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	23 (5.9%)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	4 (5.9%)
Wears hat less than half time		44 (11.3%)		6 (8.8%)
Wears hat about half time		67 (17.1%)		9 (13.2%)
Wears hat more than half time		48 (12.3%)		8 (11.8%)
Almost always		209 (53.5%)		41 (60.3%)
<b>Hat-wearing score</b>				
Mean (SD)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	14.5 (7.5)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	16.4 (6.9)
Median (IQR)		16 (8-24)		16 (12-24)
Range		0-24		0-24
<b>Usual swimwear worn</b>				
Nothing (naked)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	5 (1.3%)	Not available for cohort 1 (1999)	2 (2.9%)
Underpants		15 (3.8%)		3 (4.3%)
Shorts only		4 (1.0%)		0 (0%)
Shorts and shirt		35 (8.9%)		8 (11.6%)
2-Piece swimsuit (bikini) only		23 (5.9%)		2 (2.9%)
Full-piece swimsuit only		44 (11.2%)		5 (7.2%)
Full-piece swimsuit/briefs and shirt		7 (1.8%)		0 (0%)
Lycra cover-up suit		259 (65.9%)		48 (69.6%)

IQR, Interquartile range.

Thus it is particularly relevant that fewer children in cohort 2 than cohort 1 developed MN on the back of the trunk, especially as children raised in Townsville, Australia, develop more MN earlier in life than children raised elsewhere.<sup>5,16</sup>

These data suggest increased sun protection alone (less direct sun exposure) may have slowed MN development without reducing time spent outdoors. The ability to modify the rate of acquisition of MN by sun protection has previously been reported. Sunscreen reduced MN development in 1 study,<sup>28</sup> had no effect in another,<sup>29</sup> and was associated with higher nevus counts in others.<sup>30,31</sup> Wearing sun-protective swimwear has also been shown to be a factor in the prevention of MN,<sup>32,33</sup> whereas legionnaire hats have been associated with lower nevus counts and hat use in general has been associated with fewer MN on the head and neck.<sup>25</sup>

Study limitations include the possibility of information bias in questionnaire data as parents may

have altered their responses to overreport sun protection to reflect socially desirable behavior.<sup>34</sup> However, nondifferential bias would have resulted in underestimation rather than overestimation of differences between cohorts. Recruitment of the 2 cohorts differed: cohort 1 was recruited from birth records whereas cohort 2 was recruited from child-care centers. However, nowadays most Australian children attend some formal child care. Comparison of the 2 cohorts showed few differences, but children from child-care centers may have been subjected to better sun-protection practices where an established sun-safe policy was in place. It has previously been shown that child-care centers with written sun-protection policies provide better sun protection for young children in their care.<sup>26</sup> The results of this study cannot be generalized to any other group or to the Australian population.

Early childhood is a vulnerable period, not only biologically but also behaviorally, as infants and young children are incapable of deciding about or



implementing sun-protection measures.<sup>35</sup> Thus it is important to encourage parents and supervisors to provide sun protection by reinforcing their knowledge<sup>24</sup> and modifying their health-related attitudes and beliefs about sun safety, to lay the foundations for the future sun-safe behavior of the children in their care.<sup>36</sup>

The results of this study suggest the need for a change of approach and continued momentum in public health campaigns, particularly encouraging the use of sun-protective clothing. Assessing the trend in sun-protective practices over time provides some direction for future skin cancer prevention. This study has identified the importance of maintaining the focus of such programs on children.

## REFERENCES

- Buettner PG, Raasch BA. Incidence rates of skin cancer in Townsville, Australia [Erratum in: *Int J Cancer* 2001;93:302-3]. *Int J Cancer* 1998;78:587-93.
- Coory M, Baade P, Aitken J, Smithers M, McLeod GR, Ring I. Trends for in situ and invasive melanoma in Queensland, Australia, 1982-2002. *Cancer Causes Control* 2006;17:21-7.
- Armstrong BK, English DR. Epidemiologic studies. In: Balch CM, Houghton AN, Milton GW, Sober AJ, Soong S-J, editors. *Cutaneous melanoma: clinical management and treatment results worldwide*. 2nd ed. Philadelphia: JB Lippincott; 1992. pp. 12-26.
- Whiteman DC, Whiteman CA, Green AC. Childhood sun exposure as a risk factor for melanoma: a systematic review of epidemiologic studies. *Cancer Causes Control* 2001;12:69-82.
- Harrison SL, MacLennan R, Speare R, Wronski I. Sun exposure and melanocytic nevi in young Australian children. *Lancet* 1994;344:1529-32.
- Whiteman DC, Brown RM, Purdie DM, Hughes MC. Prevalence and anatomical distribution of nevi in young Queensland children. *Int J Cancer* 2003;106:930-3.
- Hill D, White V, Marks R, Borland R. Changes in sun-related attitudes and behaviors, and reduced sunburn prevalence in a population at high risk of melanoma. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 1993;2:447-56.
- Marks R. Two decades of the public health approach to skin cancer control in Australia: why, how and where are we now? *Australas J Dermatol* 1999;40:1-5.
- Sinclair C, Foley P. Skin cancer prevention in Australia. *Br J Dermatol* 2009;161(Suppl):116-23.
- Stanton WR, Janda M, Baade PD, Anderson P. Primary prevention of skin cancer: a review of sun protection in Australia and internationally. *Health Promot Int* 2004;19:369-78.
- World Health Organization. Ultraviolet radiation and the INTERSUN program. Available from: URL:[http://www.who.int/uv/sun\\_protection/en/](http://www.who.int/uv/sun_protection/en/). Accessed March 26, 2012.
- Sinclair C, Borland R, Davidson M, Noy S. From Slip!Slap!Slap! to SunSmart: a profile of a health education campaign. *Cancer Forum* 1994;18:183-7.
- Harrison SL, Buettner PG, MacLennan R. The North Queensland "sun-safe clothing" study: design and baseline results of a randomized trial to determine the effectiveness of sun-protective clothing in preventing melanocytic nevi. *Am J Epidemiol* 2005;161:536-45.
- Bauer J, Garbe C. Acquired melanocytic nevi as risk factor for melanoma development: a comprehensive review of epidemiological data. *Pigment Cell Res* 2003;16:297-306.
- Harrison SL. Sun exposure and the epidemiology of melanoma and melanocytic nevi (moles). In: Canyon DV, Speare R, editors. *Rural and remote environmental health*. Vol 1. Townsville (Australia): Australasian College of Tropical Medicine; 2001. pp. 99-113.
- Harrison SL, MacKie RM, MacLennan R. Development of melanocytic nevi in the first three years of life. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2000;92:1436-8.
- Kelly JW, Rivers JK, MacLennan R, Harrison S, Lewis AE, Tate BJ. Sunlight: a major factor associated with the development of melanocytic nevi in Australian schoolchildren. *J Am Acad Dermatol* 1994;30:40-8.
- Hornby F. *The Townsville region: a social atlas*. Townsville (Australia): Townsville City Council; 1986.
- Australian Bureau of Statistics. 1996 Census of population and housing-socio-economic indexes for areas (SEIFA), catalog no. 2033.0. Canberra (Australia): Australian Bureau of Statistics; 1996.
- Harrison SL, Buettner PG. Do all fair-skinned individuals consider themselves to be fair? *Prev Med* 1999;29:349-54.
- English DR, MacLennan R, Rivers J, Kelly J, Armstrong BK. Epidemiological studies of melanocytic nevi: protocol for identifying and recording nevi (IARC internal report no. 90/002). Lyon (France): International Agency for Research on Cancer; 1990.
- Bernhard G, Moise A, Mayer B, Seckmeyer G. Measurements of spectral solar UV irradiance in tropical Australia. *J Geophys Res* 1997;102:8719-30.
- Karlsson MA, Wahlgren CF, Wiklund K, Rodvall Y. Parental sun-protective regimens and prevalence of common melanocytic nevi among 7-year-old children in Sweden: changes over a 5-year period. *Br J Dermatol* 2011;164:830-7.
- Stanton WR, Chakma B, O'Riordan DL, Eyeson-Annan M. Sun exposure and primary prevention of skin cancer for infants and young children during autumn/winter. *Aust N Z J Public Health* 2000;24:178-84.
- Whiteman DC, Green AC. A risk prediction tool for melanoma? *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2005;14:761-3.
- Harrison SL, Saunders V, Nowak M. Baseline survey of sun-protection knowledge, practices and policy in early childhood settings in Queensland, Australia. *Health Educ Res* 2007;22:261-71.
- Harrison SL, MacLennan R, Buettner PG. Sun exposure and the incidence of melanocytic nevi in young Australian children. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 2008;17:2318-24.
- Gallagher RP, Rivers JK, Lee TK, Bajdik CD, McLean DI, Coldman AJ. Broad-spectrum sunscreen use and the development of new nevi in white children: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2000;283:2955-60.
- Bauer J, Büttner P, Wiecker TS, Luther H, Garbe C. Interventional study in 1,232 young German children to prevent the development of melanocytic nevi failed to change sun exposure and sun protective behavior. *Int J Cancer* 2005;116:755-61.
- Autier P, Doré JF, Cattaruzza MS, Renard F, Luther H, Gentiloni-Silverj F, et al. Sunscreen use, wearing clothes, and number of nevi in 6- to 7-year-old European children: European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer Melanoma Cooperative Group. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1998;90:1873-80.
- Azizi E, Iscovich J, Pavlotsky F, Shafir R, Luria I, Federenko L, et al. Use of sunscreen is linked with elevated nevi counts in

991	Israeli school children and adolescents. <i>Melanoma Res</i> 2000;	1,812 German children attending childcare. <i>Am J Epidemiol</i>	1046
992	10:491-8.	2005;161:620-7.	1047
993	32. Harrison S, Buettner PG, MacLennan R, Woosnam J, Hutton	34. Sackett DL. Bias in analytic research. <i>J Chronic Dis</i> 1979;32:	1048
994	L, Nowak M. Sun-safe clothing helps to prevent the devel-	51-63.	1049
995	opment of pigmented moles—results of a randomized	35. Berneburg M, Surber C. Children and sun protection. <i>Br J</i>	1050
996	controlled trial in young Australian children. <i>Ann ACTM</i>	<i>Dermatol</i> 2009;161(Suppl):33-9.	1051
997	2010;11:50.	36. Rodrigue JR. Promoting healthier behaviors, attitudes, and	1052
998	33. Bauer J, Büttner P, Wiecker TS, Luther H, Garbe C. Effect of	beliefs toward sun exposure in parents of young children.	1053
999	sunscreen and clothing on the number of melanocytic nevi in	<i>J Consult Clin Psychol</i> 1996;64:1431-6.	1054
1000			1055
1001			1056
1002			1057
1003			1058
1004			1059
1005			1060
1006			1061
1007			1062
1008			1063
1009			1064
1010			1065
1011			1066
1012			1067
1013			1068
1014			1069
1015			1070
1016			1071
1017			1072
1018			1073
1019			1074
1020			1075
1021			1076
1022			1077
1023			1078
1024			1079
1025			1080
1026			1081
1027			1082
1028			1083
1029			1084
1030			1085
1031			1086
1032			1087
1033			1088
1034			1089
1035			1090
1036			1091
1037			1092
1038			1093
1039			1094
1040			1095
1041			1096
1042			1097
1043			1098
1044			1099
1045			1100