JCU ePrints

This file is part of the following reference:

Hoogenboom, Mia O. (2008) *Physiological models of performance for scleractinian corals*. PhD thesis, James Cook University

Access to this file is available from:

http://eprints.jcu.edu.au/8189



Physiological models of performance for scleractinian corals

Mia Odell Hoogenboom

September 2008

For the degree of Doctor of Philosophy in the School of Marine and Tropical Biology James Cook University

STATEMENT OF ACCESS

I, the undersigned, the author of this thesis, understand that James Cook University will make this thesis available for use within the University Library and via the Australian Digital Theses network (unless granted any exemption) for use elsewhere.

I understand that, as an unpublished work, a thesis has significant protection under the Copyright Act and;

I wish this work to be embargoed until September 2009.

Signature

04-09-2008 Date

ELECTRONIC COPY OF THESIS

I, the undersigned, the author of this work, declare that the electronic copy of this thesis provided to the James Cook University Library is an accurate copy of the print thesis submitted, within the limits of the technology available.

Signature

04-09-2008 Date

STATEMENT OF SOURCES

I declare that this thesis is my own work and has not been submitted in any other form for another degree or diploma at any university or other institution of tertiary education. Information derived from the published or unpublished work of others has been acknowledged in the text and a list of references is given.

Signature

04-09-2008 Date

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I'd like to express my sincere appreciation to my supervisors, Professor Sean Connolly and Dr. Ken Anthony, for the education, mentoring and encouragement they have given me during my PhD candidature. In particular, I want to thank Sean for always having an open door, for always having answers close at hand, and for encouraging me to take that extra step. I want to thank Ken for sharing his in-depth knowledge of coral physiology and energy budgets with me, and for passing on (some of!) his practical and experimental skills.

A number of people gave up their own time to provide me with priceless assistance with field and experimental work. I particularly want to thank Chris Glasson, Erin Graham and Jenni Donelson for helping me out on several occasions at One Tree and Orpheus Islands. Thanks also to Marie Magnusson, Maria Dornelas, David Abrego, Becky Fox, Kerryn Johns, James Kealey, Blanche Danastas, Jessica Cox, Fiona Merida, Allison Paley and Naomi Gardiner for help with fieldwork.

A heartfelt thankyou goes to the staff at Orpheus Island Research Station and One Tree Island Research Station who make these stations such fantastic places to work. In particular, I want to thank Konrad and Pam Beinssen for sharing some of their vast knowledge of the One Tree Island ecosystem. Thanks also to Russell, Jenny, Anna and Olli for always being able to solve problems, and always making every effort to make fieldwork as safe and easy as possible. In addition, I'd like to thank the staff at JCU's Marine Aquaculture Research Facility for running an excellent saltwater aquarium facility right in the middle of campus.

I would like to thank all of the people who provided technical support for this work. Thanks to Rob Gegg for giving me the run of the workshop, and to Glen Ewels, Kurt Arrowsmith and Greg Reeves for building various parts for my respirometry chambers. I would also like to thank Claudia and Greg for various equipment loans, and Bette Willis for letting me take up so much space in her lab. Finally, thanks to Wayne Mallet and the staff of JCU's High Performance Computing team for providing an excellent platform.

I am very grateful to all of the people who have been members of the Theoretical Ecology Lab over the years. Thankyou to Maria, Ailsa, Matt, Loic and Andrew for their honest and constructive feedback on all aspects of my research. Also, thanks to Maria for being the best office-mate ever!

To my partner Duncan, thank you for your tireless support and encouragement, and for being there for me through the ups and downs of my project. Finally, to Mum and Dad, thank you for always believing in me, and for giving me every opportunity to reach my goals.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Abstract	1
1. General Introduction	3
1.1. Energetics and the niche	6
1.2. Performance of corals: Variation within and between species	7
1.2.1. Colony growth	8
1.2.2. Tissue composition	8
1.2.3. Reproductive output	11
1.3. Environmental variation on coral reefs	11
1.4. Influence of light and flow on coral energetics	14
1.5. Colony morphology and performance	18
1.6. Thesis overview	19
1.7. Publication details	21
2. Energetic costs of photoinhibition at diurnal timescales	22
2.1. Summary	22
2.2. Introduction	
2.3. Materials and methods	26
2.3.1. Experimental setting	27
2.3.2. Chlorophyll concentration	29
2.3.3. Data analysis	30
2.4. Results	32
2.4.1. Net photosynthesis and rETR	32
2.4.2. Cost of photoinhibition	37
2.4.3. Fluorescence versus respirometry	41
2.5. Discussion	43
2.6. Conclusions	48
3. Effects of photo acclimation on niche width of corals: a process-based approach	49
3.1. Summary	49
3.2. Introduction	50
3.3. Materials and methods	52
3.3.1. Modelling framework	52
3.3.2. Data sources for model calibration	54
3.3.3. Data collection	55
3.3.4. Relationship between model parameters and growth irradiance	57

 3.3.4.1. Oxygen quantum yield.....
 57

 3.3.4.2. Light absorption......
 58

3343 Turnover time	58
3.3.4.4 Chlorophyll concentration	59
3.3.4.5 Absorption cross-section and PSU size	60
3.3.4.6. Dark respiration	60
3.3.5. Daily energy acquisition	60
3.4. Results	62
3.4.1. Daily energy acquisition and the light niche	66
3.5. Discussion	69
3.6. Conclusions	75
4. Energetic implications of phenotypic plasticity in foliose corals	76
4.1. Summary	76
4.2. Introduction	77
4.3. Model formulation	81
4.3.1. Study species	81
4.3.2. Colony geometry	81
4.3.3. Photosynthesis model	83
4.3.4. Light model	84
4.3.5. Model analysis	89
4.4. Materials and methods	91
4.5. Results	92
4.6. Discussion	96
4.6.1. Alternative explanations for morphological variation	97
4.6.2. Accuracy of model predictions	99
4.7. Conclusions	
5. Defining fundamental niche dimensions for corals: synergistic	
effects of colony size, light and flow	102
5.1. Summary	102
5.2. Introduction	103
5.3. Materials and methods	106
5.3.1. Modelling framework	106
5.3.2. Study species and aquarium set-up	112
5.3.3. Water flow and photosynthesis experiments	113
5.3.4. Parameter estimation and statistical analyses	115
5.3.5. Tissue quality and reproductive output	116
5.4. Results	119
5.4.1. Mass flux relationships	119
5.4.2. Diffusion limitation of photosynthesis and respiration	119
5.4.3. Tissue quality and reproductive output	122
5.4.4. Niche width: Energy acquisition	124

5.5. Discussion	130
5.5.1. Mass flux model	131
5.5.2. Predictive accuracy of the niche model	132
5.5.3. Effect of colony size on predicted niche dimensions	133
5.6. Conclusions	134
6. General Discussion	135
6.1. Summary of results	135
6.2. Robustness of results	139
6.2.1. Heterotrophy	139
6.2.2. Carbon excretion	143
6.2.3. Nutrient limitation	145
6.3. Directions for future research	147
6.4. Conclusions and implications	148
References	149
Appendix A	182
Appendix B	183
Appendix C	207

Appendix D.....

Appendix E.....

208

210

LIST OF FIGURES

Chapter 1

Figure 1.1:	Environmental conditions and distribution of coral genera across reefs	4
Figure 1.2:	Tissue composition of scleractinian corals	10
Chapter 2		
Figure 2.1:	Diurnal photosynthesis and electron transport versus irradiance for corals acclimated to three light regimes	33
Figure 2.2:	Variation in average parameter values of photosynthesis versus irradiance curves between morning and afternoon	35
Figure 2.3:	Percentage difference in net and gross photosynthesis over the morning compared with the afternoon	38
Figure 2.4:	Daily integrated photosynthetic oxygen evolution for corals acclimated to three light regimes and exposed to two diurnal irradiance cycles	40
Figure 2.5:	Relationships between photosynthetic activity measured by oxygen respirometry versus fluorescence	42

Chapter 3

Effects of growth irradiance on photosynthesis properties of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i>	62
Effects of growth irradiance on chlorophyll concentration and rate of dark respiration for <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i>	64
Tradeoffs between different properties of photosynthesis	65
Dimensions of the light niche for Turbinaria mesenterina	66
Sensitivity of niche boundaries to each parameter that describes photoacclimation to growth irradiance for <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> .	67
	Effects of growth irradiance on photosynthesis properties of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> Effects of growth irradiance on chlorophyll concentration and rate of dark respiration for <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> Tradeoffs between different properties of photosynthesis Dimensions of the light niche for <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> Sensitivity of niche boundaries to each parameter that describes photoacclimation to growth irradiance for <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> .

Chapter 4

-		
Figure 4.1:	Variation in colony morphology with depth for <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> at Nelly Bay	82
Figure 4.2:	Geometric rendition of model colonies	83
Figure 4.3:	Curvilinear relationship between scattered and total irradiance	92
Figure 4.4:	Variation in parameters of photosynthesis versus irradiance relationship for colonies of <i>Turbinaria mesenterina</i> acclimated to different light regimes.	94
Figure 4.5:	Comparison of observed variation in colony morphology along a depth gradient with predicted optimal colony morphologies	95

Chapter 5

Figure 5.1:	Map of One Tree Island study sites	117
Figure 5.2:	Sherwood versus Reynolds relationship for colonies of <i>Acropora nasuta, Leptoria phrygia</i> and <i>Montipora foliosa</i> acclimated to high and low light levels.	120
Figure 5.3:	Tissue surface oxygen concentration for <i>Acropora nasuta</i> , <i>Leptoria phrygia</i> and <i>Montipora foliosa</i> during photosynthesis and respiration	121
Figure 5.4:	Correlations between predicted energy acquisition with reproductive output and tissue biomass for <i>Acropora nasuta</i> , <i>Leptoria phrygia</i> and <i>Montipora foliosa</i>	123
Figure 5.5:	N iche dimensions for 10 and 40 diameter colonies of three species along gradients of light intensity and flow velocity	125
Figure 5.6:	Simulated niche dimensions for colonies of three species acclimated to different light levels	129

LIST OF TABLES

Chapter 1		
Table 1.1:	Growth rates of scleractinian corals	9
Table 1.2:	Reproductive output of scleractinian corals	12
Table 1.3:	Summary of literature estimates of daily carbon acquisition from symbiont photosynthesis for scleractinian corals	17
Chapter 2		
Table 2.1:	Analysis of Variance for the effect of photoacclimatory state, diurnal irradiance cycle and time of day on photosynthesis- irradiance curve parameters	36
Table 2.2:	Comparison of the proportional difference between integrated net and gross photosynthesis over the course of the afternoon versus the morning	39
Table 2.3:	Change in photosynthetic properties of corals acclimated to low light following repeated exposure to excessive irradiance	39
Chapter 3		
Table 3.1:	Modelled dependence of photosynthesis parameters on growth irradiance: summary of functional forms and parameter estimates	59
Table 3.2:	Sensitivity of the relationships between PSU size and absorption cross-section with growth irradiance due to variation in the values of k and % light absorption	68
Chapter 4		
Table 4.1:	Phenotypically plastic corals: extent of morphological variation and environmental cue.	79
Table 4.2:	Summary of parameters and equations together with best-fit parameter estimates for light and photosynthesis models	86
Chapter 5		
Table 5.1:	Definitions of mass-transport and photosynthesis model parameters	109
Table 5.2:	Best-fit parameter estimates of equation describing variation in tissue oxygen concentration with increasing Reynolds number during photosynthesis and respiration	122
Table 5.3:	Parameter values describing the relationship between Reynolds number and tissue oxygen concentrations for simulated photoacclimatory states	128
Chapter 6		
Table 6.1:	Summary of literature estimates of daily carbon and nitrogen acquisition from various modes of heterotrophic feeding	140

ABSTRACT

A fundamental objective of ecology is to evaluate the conditions that permit different species to survive and reproduce, that is, to identify each species' 'niche'. The overarching aim of this thesis was to identify and quantify the primary processes that influence the distribution and abundance of reef-building, scleractinian corals. My general approach was to develop and calibrate process-based models that link physiology to environmental conditions, and quantify ecological performance as a function of physiology.

Light intensity is a fundamental determinant of coral performance. For several photosynthetic taxa exposure to high light levels causes a decline in daily carbon gain. In this thesis I first investigated whether this phenomenon (i.e. photoinhibition) had energetic consequences for coral symbioses. Surprisingly, results demonstrated that costs of photoinhibition are negligible under short-term exposure to high irradiance (Chapter 2). I subsequently investigated whether costs of photoinhibition manifest over a longer time-period due to changes in the photosynthetic apparatus that arise during photoacclimation to high light intensities (Chapter 3). Analyses revealed that repeated exposure to high light intensity causes changes in the photosynthetic machinery such that high-light habitats do not provide maximal energy acquisition. In fact, I found evidence of a strong reduction in energy available for growth and reproduction for corals growing under high light.

Corals potentially avoid costs of excessive light exposure by altering colony morphology. Previously, no framework has been available that allowed comparison of energy acquisition for multiple, complex coral morphologies, in response to varying light conditions, while taking into account the flexibility in coral photophysiology. Using a novel, three-dimensional geometric model of light capture

1

Abstract

in combination with a comprehensive photosynthesis dataset, in Chapter 4 I demonstrate that morphological plasticity maximizes the amount of energy corals have available for growth and reproduction. In addition, results showed that variation in morphology is most important at niche boundaries whereas physiological flexibility is important in intermediate habitats.

In addition to light intensity, water flow velocity varies markedly between reef habitats and has a strong influence on coral metabolism. In this thesis, I built on existing models of gas exchange to incorporate the effects of light intensity, flow velocity and colony size into a single model (Chapter 5). Analysis of this model showed that the branching coral *Acropora nasuta* has a positive energy balance over a wider range of conditions than both a massive (*Leptoria phrygia*) and a foliose species (*Montipora foliosa*). Moreover, colony size was revealed as having a strong influence on niche width: large colonies of all three species had a positive energy balance over a broader range of conditions than small colonies.

The overarching aim of my thesis was to evaluate the performance of corals in response to environmental gradients. This work quantifies the mechanisms through which light and flow influence coral physiology. Model predictions were strongly correlated with observed tissue biomass and reproductive output. In addition, an optimality model based on morphology-specific energy acquisition as a function of the ambient light-regime, adequately captured observed variation in colony shape across a depth gradient. Overall, this thesis provides new insight into the processes underlying the habitat distributions of reef-building corals, achieved by quantifying environmental effects on physiology and integrating these effects into an energy-budget framework.

2